



WP(MD). No.16750 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 04.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.16750 of 2023

T.Murthy

... Petitioner

versus

1. The Managing Director,
State Express Transport Corpn., (TN) Ltd.,
Corporate Office, No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
2. The Finance Advisor & Chief Accounts Officer,
State Express Transport Corpn., (TN) Ltd.,
Corporate Office, No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
3. The Administrator,
TNSTC Employees Pension Trust,
SETC (TN) Ltd., No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
4. The Branch Manager,
State Express Transport Corpn., (TN) Ltd.,
Madurai Branch,
Madurai.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the



WP(MD). No.16750 of 2023

respondents to implement and sanction the 5th review benefit as per wage settlements and the same to be given the difference arrears with retrospective effect from 01.11.2020 to the date of retirement and also based on the above, the terminal benefits and pension to be revised and consequently direct the respondents to disburse the difference arrears of terminal benefits and pension with retrospective from the retirement to the date of actual payment with interest at the rate of 6% per annum payable to the petitioner within the stipulated time fixed by this court.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Gokul
For R1 and R2 : Mr.K.Senthil Kumar
For R3 : Mr.S.C.Herold Singh

ORDER

The petitioner, an employee of the Transport Corporation, has filed this writ petition, with a grievance that he has not been provided with 5th review benefit.

2. The learned Standing Counsel submits that the petitioner has been provided with 4th review benefit and he is eligible for 5th review benefit only on 01.08.2023. However, he has been promoted in the officer cadre as Driving Instructor on 08.05.2023 and he retired from



WP(MD). No.16750 of 2023

service on 30.06.2023. According to the learned Standing Counsel, the Officer Cadre Employee is not eligible for the review benefit. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for 5th review benefit.

3. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for arrears of pay as per VII pay commission.

4. In reply, the learned Standing Counsel submits that in the representation dated 29.05.2023, the petitioner has confined his relief only for 5th review benefit.

5. Without any material, this Court is not inclined to grant any relief to the petitioner. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation, seeking difference of pay, if any, as per VII pay commission.

6. In the event, if any such representation has been made by the petitioner, the same shall be considered on merits and disposed of within



WP(MD). No.16750 of 2023

a period of 12 weeks from thereon.

WEB COPY

7. With the above liberty, this writ petition is closed. No costs.

04.12.2025

ogy

Index : Yes / No.

Internet: Yes / No.

NCC : Yes / No.

To

1. The Managing Director,
State Express Transport Corpn., (TN) Ltd.,
Corporate Office, No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
2. The Finance Advisor & Chief Accounts Officer,
State Express Transport Corpn., (TN) Ltd.,
Corporate Office, No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
3. The Administrator,
TNSTC Employees Pension Trust,
SETC (TN) Ltd., No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.
4. The Branch Manager,
State Express Transport Corpn., (TN) Ltd.,
Madurai Branch,
Madurai.

4/5



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.16750 of 2023

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

WP(MD) No.16750 of 2023

04.12.2025