

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10980 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 30.06.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10980 of 2025
and
Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.8227 & 8228 of 2025

Selva

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Manapparai, Trichy District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Manapparai Police Station,
Trichy District.
In Cr.No.561 of 2024.

3.Balamani Bharathi

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 BNSS, to call for the records pertaining to the charge sheet in Spl.S.C.No.18 of 2025 on the file of the I Additional District Court (PCR), Trichirappalli and quash the same as illegal as far as this petitioner is concerned.

For Petitioner

: Mr.A.Joel Paul Antony

For R1 & R2

: Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate(Crl.side)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10980 of 2025


ORDER

The petitioner, who is A3 in Spl.S.C.No.18 of 2025 on the file of the I Additional District Court (PCR), Trichirappalli, has filed this petition to quash the said proceedings.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are relatives, however, they are belonging to different communities. Due to family dispute, the accused persons abused the defacto complainant by using his caste name. Therefore, the second respondent has lodged a complaint, based on which, a case in Cr.No.561 of 2024 came to be registered. Upon completion of investigation, the first respondent has filed the final report, which was taken on file in Spl.S.C.No.18 of 2025 by the I Additional District Court (PCR), Trichirappalli. Challenging the same, this petition has been filed.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the allegations levelled in the FIR are baseless, but the first respondent has conducted the investigation and filed the final report mechanically.

Accordingly, he prays to quash the impugned proceedings.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10980 of 2025

WEB CCM

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the first respondent submits that the grounds raised by the petitioner have to be appreciated only before the trial Court during the course of trial. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss the present petition.

5.This Court considered the rival submissions made on either side and perused the materials available in the records.

6.As rightly pointed out by the learned Government Advocate(Crl.side), the grounds raised in the present petition have to be appreciated only during the course of trial. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to raise all these grounds before the trial Court. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

30.06.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet:Yes
gns

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10980 of 2025

To

- 1.The I Additional District Court (PCR),
Trichirappalli.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Manapparai,
Trichy District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Manapparai Police Station,
Trichy District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10980 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J

gns

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10980 of 2025

30.06.2025