



CRL OP(MD). No.10700 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 04/08/2025

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI**

CRL OP(MD). No.10700 of 2025

Murugesan,  
S/o.Karuppannan

: Petitioner/ Accused Rank  
not known

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
District Crime Branch,  
Trichy.  
(Crime No.25 of 2021)

: Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Arun Raj,  
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,  
Government Advocate  
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS.



CRL OP(MD). No.10700 of 2025

PRAYER :-

WEB COPY

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.25 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ Accused Rank not known, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 468, 471 and 34 of IPC, in Crime No.25 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the absolute owner and is in enjoyment of the subject property. Under these circumstances, on 28.03.2013, the defacto complainant verified the computer chitta, which reflected the names of his father, Annadurai, and one Nalini, W/o.Gnanasekaran, as joint holders. Nalini is a stranger to the said property. Thereby, the defacto complainant came to know that the accused persons had created forged documents in respect of his property. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the



CRL OP(MD). No.10700 of 2025

prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide  
WEB COPY  
any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Co-accused/A1 has already  
granted anticipatory bail by the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Trichy  
in Cr.M.P.No.758 of 2022. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that there are  
totally four accused involved in this case. The petitioner herein is arrayed as A3. The  
petitioner along with A1 and A2 had created forged documents by misusing the  
UDR patta changing the name and sold the property to another person. The offence  
committed by the petitioner is grave in nature. Hence, he opposed to grant  
anticipatory bail.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and taking into account  
of the fact that the the occurrence had taken place on 28.03.2013 and the FIR has  
been registered on 04.12.2021, by this time, material part of the investigation might  
have been completed and also considering the fact that the co-accused/A1 has  
already granted anticipatory bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to  
the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.



CRL OP(MD). No.10700 of 2025


सत्यमेव  
WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.V, Trichy, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.V, Trichy, and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.V, Trichy. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.V, Trichy;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;



CRL OP(MD). No.10700 of 2025

सत्यमेव जयते (d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-  
04/08/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/08/2025  
Sub-Assistant Registrar  
( C.S. I / II / III / IV )  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

DAS  
TO

1 The Judicial Magistrate No.V,  
Trichy.

2 Do Through  
The Chief Judicial Magistrate,  
Trichy.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.10700 of 2025

3 The Inspector of Police,  
District Crime Branch,  
Trichy.

4 The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.K.ARUNRAJ, Advocate ( SR-8424[I] dated 05/08/2025 )

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10700 of 2025

Date :04/08/2025

NM/22.08.2025/ 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023