



CRL OP(MD). No.10638 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.10638 of 2025

S.Sunil,
S/o.Subramaniyan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by, the Inspector of Police,
Thisayanvilai Police Station,
Tirunelveli.
(Crime No.312 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.P.Narayanakumar,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.312 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent



CRL OP(MD). No.10638 of 2025

police for the offences punishable under sections 329(4), 296(b), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.312 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that both the de-facto complainant and the petitioner reside in the same locality and had jointly purchased a lorry in the name of the petitioner. Thereafter, on 26.04.2025, at about 9.30 p.m., due to a monetary dispute between them, the petitioner allegedly trespassed into the de-facto complainant's house, attacked him with a sickle, thereby causing injuries, and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, a case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that due to the monetary dispute, there was merely a wordy quarrel between them. He further submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submits that the petitioner is the sole accused in this case, and there are no previous cases registered against the petitioner. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital on 30.04.2025. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.10638 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of the offence, and taking note of the fact that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioner, and that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, and that as the date of occurrence is 26.04.2025, by this time most of the investigation might have been completed, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Radhapuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Radhapuram, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Radhapuram. In the event of any change in his



CRL OP(MD). No.10638 of 2025

residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial
WEB COPY
Magistrate, Radhapuram;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m.,
until further orders;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during
investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial
Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with
law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the
learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court
in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
26/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/06/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.10638 of 2025

WEB COPY
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RADHAPURAM, TIRUNELVELI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THISAYANVILAI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI.

4 .
THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to K.P.NARAYANAKUMAR Advocate SR.No.6859 (I) DT.27/06/2025

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10638 of 2025
Date :26/06/2025

NM/09.07.2025/ 5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023