



W.P.(MD)No.17281 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :12.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDER

W.P.(MD)No.17281 of 2025

P.Murugesan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul, Dindigul District..

2. The Tahsildar,
Dindigul West Taluk Office, Dindigul District..

3. Ramasamy,
S/o.Late.Subbaian,
N.S.Nagar, Karur Road,
Seelapadi Village, Dindigul West Taluk, Dindigul District..

4. Durai @ Maruthai,
S/o.Late.Subbaian,
N.S.Nagar, Karur Road,
Seelapadi Village, Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District..

5. Perumal,
S/o.Late.Subbaian,
N.S.Nagar, Karur Road,
Seelapadi Village, Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District..

6. Pappathi,
D/o.Late.Subbaian,
W/o.Palanichamy,



W.P.(MD)No.17281 of 2025

WEB CO

Salayoor Seelapadi Village, Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District..

7. Muthuchamy,
S/o.Mallaiya Gounder,
M.G.Nagar, Karur Road,
Seelapadi, Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District..

8. Palanichamy,
S/o.Mallaiya Gounder,
Seelapadi Village, Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District..

9. Suruli,
S/o.Mallaiya Gounder,
Karattupatti, Seelapadi Village,
Dindigul West Taluk, Dindigul District..

10. Lakshmi,
D/o.Mallaiya Gounder,
Kudi Street, Seelapadi,
Dindigul West Taluk, Dindigul District

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the Impugned Order passed by the 1st respondent in Na.Ka.No. 5161/2024/Aa4, dated 30.04.2025 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 2 to cancel the joint patta issued in the name of the respondents no. 3 to 10 in respect of the landed property in Survey No. 200/2A situated at Seelapadi Village, Dindigul West Taluk, Dindigul District.

For Petitioner :Mr.J.Lawrance

For Respondent :Mr.R.Ragavendran for R1 and R2
Government Advocate



W.P.(MD)No.17281 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a civil suit, filed by the respondents 3 to 10 against the petitioner seeking declaration of title over the subject property, was in-fact dismissed. Thereafter, an appeal was preferred with a delay of 500 days. The delay has not been condoned and needless to say, there is no stay in the appeal proceedings.

5. The learned Government Advocate would submit that in view of the orders passed in the civil suit, the 1st respondent Revenue Divisional Officer would undertake the exercise and accordingly issue patta after hearing all the parties concerned.

6. Recording the said submission, the 1st respondent shall undertake the said exercise and accordingly issue patta, after hearing all the parties concerned and in view of the judgment passed in the civil suit, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

(K.SURENDER, J)
12.12.2025

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
RR



W.P.(MD)No.17281 of 2025

WEB COPY To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul, Dindigul District..
2. The Tahsildar,
Dindigul West Taluk Office, Dindigul District..



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.17281 of 2025

K.SURENDER, J.

RR

W.P.(MD)No.17281 of 2025

12.12.2025