



CRL OP(MD).No.10624 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.10624 of 2025

**Kala @ Puttammal @ Prema,
W/o.Rajendran,**

..Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

**The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Inspector of Police,
Thilagar Thidal Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.206 of 2025)**

.. Respondent/Complainant

**For Petitioner : Mr.R.Vijayaragavan
Advocate.**

**For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)**

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.206 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER: This Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody



CRL OP(MD).No.10624 of 2025

on 14.05.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS in Crime

WEB COPY

No.206 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 09.05.2025, the defacto-complainant went to Alagar Festival, at that time, by using the crowded situation, this petitioner stolen 9.5 sovereign of gold Thali chain of the defacto-complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide and conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 14.05.2025 nearly 44 days. Hence, he seeks bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that on 09.05.2025, this petitioner by using crowded situation in the Alagar Festival stolen 9.5 sovereign of gold Thali chain from the defacto-complainant. A melted gold was recovered from the petitioner. This petitioner is having four previous cases, all are similar in nature. This petitioner is native of Andhrapradesh, she failed to disclose her identity and she did not give any proof of identity. This petitioner has not



CRL OP(MD).No.10624 of 2025

cooperated for investigation. If, the petitioner released on bail, she involved in more criminal activities. Hence, he strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the manner in which the offence committed, this petitioner is having three previous cases, all are similar in nature, and considering the gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
25/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

gvn

To

1.The Officer Incharge,
Special prison for Women, Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thilagar Thidal Police Station,
Madurai District.

3/4



CRL OP(MD).No.10624 of 2025

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10624 of 2025
Date :25/06/2025

SB/16.07.2025 4P/4C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023