



CRL OP(MD).No.10532 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.10532 of 2025

1. Syed Anwar

2. Shajathi Begam

.. Petitioners/ Accused No.1& 2

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thirupalaikudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.96 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.T.A.Ebenezer

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.96 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD).No.10532 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 189(2), 132, 262, 265 and 351(2) of BNS 2023, in Crime No.96 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant have to arrest the 1st petitioner as per the warrant issued by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai in STC.No.171 of 2024, at that time, the petitioners have prevented the defacto complainant who is the Sub Inspector of Police, Kenikarai, Ramanthapuram District, and abused in filthy language and threatened him. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that there was a previous enmity between the 1st petitioner and the defacto complainant, the petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court. Hence, he seeks



anticipatory bail to the petitioners.


WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that no one was sustained injuries. He further submitted that the petitioners have abused the defacto complainant and prevented the official duty. He further submitted that the investigation is almost completed. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that no one was sustained injuries and the investigation is almost completed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may



CRL OP(MD).No.10532 of 2025

WEB COPY

obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;


(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai.

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m.,and 05.30 p.m., until further orders.

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



CRL OP(MD).No.10532 of 2025

WEB COPY

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
25/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

msrm

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai.
2. Do Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Thirupalaikudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.T.A.EBENEZER, Advocate (SR-6786[I] dated 26/06/2025)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10532 of 2025
Date :25/06/2025

HPS/10.07.2025 /5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023