



CRL OP(MD).No.10475 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.10475 of 2025

P.Sudha,
D/o.Pitchaipillai,

..Petitioner/ Accused No.2

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.3 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.3 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : This Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused No.2, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 05.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 7 of Prevention of



CRL OP(MD).No.10475 of 2025

Corruption Act, 1988 altered into U/s.7 and 12 r/w.7 of Anti Corruption Act in
WEB COPY
Crime No.3 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first accused demanded Rs.5000/- from the defacto-complainant as bribe amount in order to measure the land and to recommend for sub-division patta. After trap proceedings, first accused and this petitioner caught red handed by the respondent police. This petitioner running a E-Seva Centre near the VAO Office, the bribe money has been recovered intact from the possession of this petitioner, who received it as directed by the first accused. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that this petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is no way connected with the case, a false case has been lodged as against the petitioner. The petitioner's nephew-in-law (Husband's own brother's son) was died on 13.06.2025, she has to attend the funeral, which is to be performed on 23.06.2025. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 05.06.2025 nearly 15 days. Hence, he seeks bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the first accused demanded Rs.5000/- as bribe amount from the defacto-complainant,



CRL OP(MD).No.10475 of 2025

on 28.05.2025, as per the direction of the first accused, this petitioner received the
WEB COPY
bribe amount and kept in her E-Seva Xerox shop, both the accused persons were
arrested and remanded into judicial custody. The property was recovered by the
respondent police. There is no previous case against this petitioner. However, he
objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also
taking note of the fact that the petitioner's nephew-in-law's funeral scheduled to be
held on 23.06.2025, the property was already seized by the respondent police, the
petitioner/2nd accused remanded into judicial custody on 05.06.2025, taking into
consideration of the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to grant bail to the
petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a
bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each
for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Principal District Judge, Thanjavur, and on
further conditions that :-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the
surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass
Book to ensure their identity.

[b] The petitioner shall furnish her residential address and contact number to
the Principal District Judge, Thanjavur. If the petitioner changes her residential



CRL OP(MD).No.10475 of 2025

address, she shall report the same to the Principal District Judge, Thanjavur;

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. from Wednesday i.e., on 25.06.2025, until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

sd/-
20/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/06/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD).No.10475 of 2025

WEB COPY
TO

1. THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE,
THANJAVUR
2. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR.
3. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THANJAVUR DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.
4. THE SUPERINTEDENT,
CENTRAL WOMEN PRISON, TRICHY
5. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VIGILANCE AND ANTI-CORRUPTION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
6. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10475 of 2025
Date :20/06/2025

PR/20.06 .2025 5P/7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023