



CRL OP(MD). No.10401 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.10.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.10401 of 2025

Praveena

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
CCD-III Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.73 of 2024)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Vishnu

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.73 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.10401 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 506(i) of IPC and Section 66-D of the Information Technology Act, 2008, in Crime No.73 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had introduced the defacto complainant to A2. A2 told that he is doing forex trading business in the name and style of "Margin Technology and further that if he invests the amount in Margin Technology, he will earn more profit. Believing the words of the accused person, the defacto complainant invested a sum of Rs.3,50,000/- in the account of A1/petitioner, who is the Manager of the Company. Further, the defacto complainant had received a sum of Rs.27,200/- as profit. After that, the accused persons did not pay any profit amount, and the same was questioned by the defacto complainant. The accused person threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.



CRL OP(MD). No.10401 of 2025

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, she vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and if the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- to the credit of crime number, the same would suffice to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with other conditions.



CRL OP(MD). No.10401 of 2025

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Madurai District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Madurai District, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) to the credit of Crime No.73 of 2024 before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Madurai District. On such deposit, the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Madurai District, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner. After receipt of entire amount, the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Madurai



CRL OP(MD). No.10401 of 2025

District, shall deposit the said amount in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is passed in the case in Crime No.73 of 2024. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment.

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police twice in a week ie., on every Saturday and Sunday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid



CRL OP(MD). No.10401 of 2025

WEB COPY

down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

(S S Y J)
22.10.2025

msrm

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1,
Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
CCD-III Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10401 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

msrm

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10401 of 2025**

22.10.2025