



CRL OP(MD). No.10549 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/09/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.10549 of 2025

Rajesh

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Dindigul Rural,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.14 of 2025).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr. M.Sasi
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.P.Rajesh Kanna

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.14 of 2025 on the file of
the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.10549 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 87 of BNS, 7 & 8 of Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act, 2012, in Crime No.14 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the victim girl were in love with each other and thereafter, the petitioner had kidnapped the victim girl who is minor and misbehaved her. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and the



CRL OP(MD). No.10549 of 2025

investigation is almost completed. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the Intervenor submitted that the petitioner has harassed the minor girl and misbehaved her. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact it is love affair also the fact that the investigation has been almost completed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Special Court for the Exclusive Trial of POCSO Act Cases, Dindigul, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer,



CRL OP(MD). No.10549 of 2025

who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Special Court for the Exclusive Trial of POCSO Act Cases, Dindigul, and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid



CRL OP(MD). No.10549 of 2025

WEB COPY

down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala* [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

(S S Y J)
09.09.2025

msrm

To

- 1.The Special Court for the Exclusive
Trial of POCSO Act Cases, Dindigul
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Dindigul Rural,
Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.10549 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

msrm

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10549 of 2025**

09.09.2025