



CRL OP(MD). No.10341 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.06.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.10341 of 2025

C.Saranya,
W/o.Chandra Sekar

...Petitioner / Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Thanthonimalai Police Station,
Karur District.
(Crime No.125 of 2025)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Balaji,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS, 2023.

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.125 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.10341 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.04.2025 for the offences under Sections 103(1) and 238(a) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, in Crime No.125 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is working as a coolie. He has one son and two daughters. His son, namely Chandra Sekar, was married to the accused person, and they had no children out of their wedlock. Further, frequent quarrels arose between Chandra Sekar and the accused. In this circumstance, on 13.04.2025 at about 11:30 p.m., the accused and her brother-in-law came to the defacto complainant's house along with Chandra Sekar and informed that at about 9:30 p.m., Chandra Sekar had met with an accident near the Thirumanilaiyur wine shop. Due to the said accident, Chandra Sekar sustained an injury on the left side of his head and was taken for treatment to the Government Hospital, Karur. Thereafter, the accused left Chandra Sekar at the defacto complainant's house. Subsequently, on 14.04.2025 at about 4:30 a.m., the defacto complainant attempted to wake Chandra Sekar but received no response. He was then taken to the Government Medical College Hospital, Karur, where the doctors declared that he was already dead. Later, one of the defacto complainant's relatives, namely Lingeshwaran, informed that Chandra



WEB COPY

Sekar had not met with an accident. Instead, it was alleged that there had been a quarrel between the accused and Chandra Sekar, during which the accused attacked him with a wooden log on his head and body. When Lingeshwaran questioned the accused about the incident, she reportedly stated that it was a matter between husband and wife and others should not interfere. Hence, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint against the accused person, and an FIR was registered in Crime No.125 of 2025.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He would further submit that there are no previous cases against the petitioner. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 14.04.2025. Hence, he seeks bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the accused attacked the deceased on his head and body with a wooden log. He would further submit that most of the investigation has been completed and that the respondent is going to file the charge sheet. He would further submit that there are no previous cases against the petitioner. He, however, would submit that if bail is granted to the petitioner, she will abscond and tamper with the evidence. Therefore,



he opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. This Court has heard the learned counsel on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration and also taking note that most of the investigation have already been completed, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, however, subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Karur, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall furnish her residential address and mobile number to the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Karur. If the petitioner changes her residential address, she shall report the same to the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Karur.



CRL OP(MD). No.10341 of 2025

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

8. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
20/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

20/06/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.10341 of 2025

PAL
TO
WEB COPY

1 THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
KARUR.

2 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, TRICHY.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THANTHONIMALAI POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10341 of 2025
Date :20/06/2025

SA/SAR. /20.06.2025/6P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.