



CRL OP(MD). No.10285 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14/07/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.10285 of 2025

1.Pushparani,
W/o.Kanagaraj

2.Kanagaraj,
S/o.Singan

3.Malathi,
W/o.Raja

... Petitioners/ A2 to A4

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by, the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Lalgudi, Trichy District.
(Crime No.32 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Vinayak,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate
(Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.10285 of 2025

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

WEB COPY

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.32 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A2 to A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 498(A), 354(A), 323, 403, 417 and 506(i) of IPC r/w. Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.32 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners demanded a dowry of 50 sovereigns of gold jewellery and a cash amount of Rs.5,00,000/-. It is alleged that the petitioners harassed the de-facto complainant. Hence, a case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are in-laws of the de-facto complainant, and are innocent persons, and have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however,



CRL OP(MD). No.10285 of 2025

submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are totally five accused persons in this case and the petitioners have been arrayed as A2 to A4. A1 was arrested and subsequently released on bail. He further submitted that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioners. However, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that the co-accused was arrested and subsequently released on bail, and that there are no previous cases registered against the petitioners, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees



CRL OP(MD). No.10285 of 2025

Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi. In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail



CRL OP(MD). No.10285 of 2025

by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble
WEB COPY
Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] and;

(g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
14/07/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

mkn

TO

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Lalgudi.

2. The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tiruchirappalli.

3.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Lalgudi, Trichy District.



CRL OP(MD). No.10285 of 2025

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.S.VINAYAK, Advocate (SR-7573[I] dated 15/07/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10285 of 2025
Date :14/07/2025

PR/31.07 .2025 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023