



CRL OP(MD). No.10280 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.10280 of 2025

1.Revathi,
W/o.Rajkumar

2.Kaliyathal,
W/o.Subramani

3.Rajkumar,
S/o.Eswaran

... Petitioners/ A1 to A3

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Ayakudi Police Station,
Palani Taluk,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.121 of 2025)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Sarvagan Prabhu,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.121 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis> The petitioners/ A1 to A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent



CRL OP(MD). No.10280 of 2025

police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002 in Crime No.121 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that there is a vacant site inbetween the house of the de-facto complainant and that of the accused. On 10.06.2025, at about 7 a.m., a dispute arose between them regarding the usage of the said vacant site. Under such circumstances, the accused persons are said to have attacked the de-facto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that it is a case, case in counter. In fact, the de-facto complainant and her husband indulged in an unnecessary quarrel with the petitioners and attacked them. Due to the said attack, the 2nd petitioner sustained injuries and is undergoing treatment. In this regard, the 1st petitioner lodged a complaint against the de-facto complainant and her husband in Crime No.120 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police. He further submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and are in no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He however submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the issue



CRL OP(MD). No.10280 of 2025

pertains to a land dispute. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani, Dindigul District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani, Dindigul District and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani, Dindigul District. In the event of any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned



CRL OP(MD). No.10280 of 2025

Judicial Magistrate, Palani, Dindigul District;

WEB COPY

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;


(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
20/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.10280 of 2025

MKN
TO
WEB COPY

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PALANI, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AYAKUDI POLICE STATION,
PALANI TALUK,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.SARVAGAN PRABHU, Advocate (SR-6646[I] dated 23/06/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10280 of 2025
Date :20/06/2025

SA/SAR. /04.07.2025/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.