



CRL OP(MD). No.10227 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.10227 of 2025

1.Veerapandi,
S/o.Muthukaruppan

2.Mahamani,
W/o.Veerapandi

... Petitioners/ A1 & A2

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thirupuvanam Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.276 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Sujeeth,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.10227 of 2025

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.276 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/ A1 & A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.276 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 10.06.2025, at about 7.45 p.m., the de-facto complainant went to the area where the accused persons reside. When the de-facto complainant inquired about a property, it is alleged that the accused persons assaulted him using an iron rod and stones, abused him in filthy language, and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that it is a case, case in counter. The petitioners, being senior citizens, did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. In fact, it was the de-facto complainant who attacked the petitioners using an iron rod and other dangerous weapons, without even considering their age and medical condition. A case has also been lodged against the de-facto complainant in Crime No.275 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police. He further submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.10227 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the petitioners and the de-facto complainant are relatives, and due to a property dispute, a clash occurred between them. He further submitted the injured has been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also taking note of the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirupuvanam, Sivagangai District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirupuvanam, Sivagangai District and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number



CRL OP(MD). No.10227 of 2025

to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirupuvanam, Sivagangai District. In the event of
WEB COPY
any change in their residential address, the petitioners shall report the same to the
learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirupuvanam, Sivagangai District;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m.,
until further orders;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during
investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial
Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with
law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the
learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court
in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
19/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.10227 of 2025

MKN

TO

WEB COPY

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUPUVANAM, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THIRUPUVANAM POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10227 of 2025

Date :19/06/2025

SA/SAR. /03.07.2025/5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.