



CRL OP(MD).No.10066 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.10066 of 2025

G.Mareeswaran, (M/18/25)
S/o.Gurunathan

.. Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.210 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Mareeskumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.210 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD).No.10066 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 191(2), 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 351 (3) of BNS, in Crime No.210 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that during temple festival, there was a wordy quarrel between two groups, the petitioner along with other accused persons have attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that co-accused had already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.O.P.(MD).No.8654 of 2025 dated 14.05.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner has given a complaint before the respondent against the defacto complainant and the same was registered in Crime No.209 of 2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.




CRL OP(MD).No.10066 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending as against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and also considering that co-accused had already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD).No.10066 of 2025

WEB COPY

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;


(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur.

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



CRL OP(MD).No.10066 of 2025

WEB COPY

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
17/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

msrm
To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Sattur.
2. Do Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Virudhunagar District @ Srivilliputhur.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.E.MAREES KUMAR, Advocate (SR-6408[I] dated 17/06/2025)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10066 of 2025
Date :17/06/2025

HPS/04.07.2025 /5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023
<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>