



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10367 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 20.06.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10367 of 2025

S.Syed Abuthahir

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Superintendent of Police
Office of Superintendent of Police
Ramanathapuram.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Ramanathapuram Taluk
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police
Thondi Police Station,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 4.The Sub Inspector of Police,
S.P.Pattinam Police Station,
S.P.Pattinam, Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

5.A.Ibrahim Naina

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 BNSS, to direct the first respondent to give suitable direction to the fourth



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10367 of 2025


respondent not to harass the petitioner at the instigation of the fifth respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 09.06.2025 within the period as stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.Kamaraj.S
For R1 to R4 : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate(Crl.side)
For R5 : Mr.S.Atham Ali

ORDER

The petitioner with an allegation that the respondent police is harassing the petitioner under the guise of an enquiry has approached this Court.

2.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the official respondents submits that based on the complaint given by the fourth respondent, petition enquiry in CSR.No.66 of 2025 has been initiated. During enquiry, the respondent Police has found that there is a money dispute between the parties and hence, they have closed the enquiry.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10367 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the fifth respondent submits that the fifth respondent has collected money to the tune of Rs.1 Crore from 14 persons and handed over the same to the petitioner herein for arranging job in abroad, however, he cheated him.

4.This Court has considered the submissions made on either side and perused the available materials.

5.It appears that there are some money transaction between the petitioner and the fifth respondent. It is reported that the enquiry was closed as civil dispute. If the fifth respondent is having any grievance, he has to workout his remedy in the manner known to law. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is closed.

20.06.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet:Yes
gns



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10367 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police
Office of Superintendent of Police
Ramanathapuram.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Ramanathapuram Taluk
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police
Thondi Police Station,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 4.The Sub Inspector of Police,
S.P.Pattinam Police Station,
S.P.Pattinam, Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10367 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J

gns

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10367 of 2025

20.06.2025