



Crl.M.P.(MD).No.7504 of 2025 in  
CRL OP(MD). No.21899 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.09.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**

**Crl.M.P. (MD) .No.7504 of 2025**

**in**

**CRL OP(MD) NO. 21899 of 2024**

M.Sudha

..Petitioner/defacto-  
complainant

Vs

1.State Of Tamilnadu,

Rep By The Inspector Of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Karur, Karur District.

2.Surendran

..Respondents

**PRAYER :-** Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 483(2) of BNSS, to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the second respondent vide order dated 07.03.2025, in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.21899 of 2024 on the file of this Court.

**For Petitioner(s):** Mr.G.Vishnuram

**For Respondent(s):**Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)(for R1)  
:No appearance (for R2)



**Crl.M.P.(MD).No.7504 of 2025 in**  
**CRL OP(MD). No.21899 of 2024**


WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The Criminal Original Petition is filed to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the second respondent vide order dated 07.03.2025, in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.21899 of 2024 on the file of this Court.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is the defacto complainant. This Court vide order dated 07.03.2025, in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.21899 of 2024, granted anticipatory bail to the second respondent based on a compromise wherein the petitioner had agreed to marry the defacto complainant. However, the second respondent has now refused to marry the defacto complainant and threatened her to withdraw the complaint. Therefore, the second respondent violated the

2/5



**Crl.M.P.(MD).No.7504 of 2025 in**  
**CRL OP(MD). No.21899 of 2024**

WEB COPY

condition imposed by this Court in the anticipatory bail petition. Hence, he seeks to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the second respondent.

3.Heard the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent-Police.

4.Considering the above facts and circumstances and taking note of the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the second respondent refused to marry the defacto complainant and threatened her to withdraw the complaint and also taking note of the fact that the second respondent violated the conditions imposed in the anticipatory bail petition, this Court is inclined to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the second respondent.



**Crl.M.P.(MD).No.7504 of 2025 in**  
**CRL OP(MD). No.21899 of 2024**

WEB COPY

5.In the result, this Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is allowed and the anticipatory bail granted to the second respondent in Crl.O.P. (MD)No.21899 of 2024, dated 07.03.2025, is hereby cancelled.

**18.09.2025**

vsg

To

1.The Inspector Of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Karur, Karur District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



**CrI.M.P.(MD).No.7504 of 2025 in**  
**CRL OP(MD). No.21899 of 2024**

**S . SRIMATHY . J . ,**

vsg

CrI.M.P. (MD) .No.7504 of 2025  
in  
CrI.O.P. (MD) .No.21899 of 2024

Date : 18.09.2025