



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.331 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 31.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN

Tr.CMP.(MD)No.331 of 2025

and

C.M.P.(MD)No.9023 of 2025

GU.Abiramy

.. Petitioner

Vs.

M.Ashwath

.. Respondent

Prayer : Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, to withdraw the H.M.O.P.No.5594 of 2024 on the file of the IInd Additional Family Court, Chennai and transfer the same to the file of the Family Court, Madurai.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Murugapandi

For Respondent : No Appearance
Court Notice served

ORDER

The present Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed to withdraw the H.M.O.P.No.5594 of 2024 on the file of the IInd Additional Family Court, Chennai and transfer the same to the file of the



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.331 of 2025

Family Court, Madurai.

WEB COPY

2. The petitioner is the wife and the respondent is the husband.

The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnized on 07.05.2023 as per the Hindu Rites and customs at Koodal Azhagar Temple, Madurai.

3. Due to some matrimonial discord, the parties have separated. Therefore, the respondent/husband filed H.M.O.P.No.5594 of 2024, on the file of the II Additional Family Court, Chennai, seeking divorce. Thereafter, she has also filed H.M.O.P.No.150 of 2025 on the file of the Family Court, Madurai, seeking restitution of conjugal rights.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/wife submits that his client is living in Iyer Bungalow, Madurai and she was taken care of by her parents. The distance between the petitioner's residence to Chennai, is around 456 Kms, and being a lady, she finds it difficult to travel such a long distance for attending every Court hearing. She also filed H.M.O.P.No.150 of 2025 on the file of the Family Court, Madurai. Hence, she seeks transfer of proceedings in H.M.O.P.No.5594



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.331 of 2025

WEB COPY


of 2024 from the file of the II Additional Family Court, Chennai, to the file of the Family Court, Madurai.

5. Even though the notice has been served upon the respondent through his lower court counsel, he did not chose to enter appearance before this Court either in person or through counsel.

6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the materials available on records.

7. In the case of ***N.C.V.Aishwarya Vs. A.S.Saravana Karthik Sha*** reported in ***2022 Live Law (SC) 627***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has dealt with the position generally, that it is the wife's convenience, which must be looked into while considering the transfer petition under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure and the relevant passage is extracted hereunder :

“The cardinal principle for exercise of power under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to



WEB COPY

take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socioeconomic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer.", the bench observed."

8. It is also well settled proposition of law that whenever a transfer petition is filed in matrimonial disputes, the convenience of the wife should be predominantly looked into, and the wife's convenience shall be given preference.

9. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case and also taking into account of the inconvenience expressed by the petitioner in attending every court hearing, by travelling ten hours journey to reach the II Additional Family Court, Chennai, situated 456 kms away from her Domicile, namely, Iyer Bungalow, Madurai, incurring huge expense, this court inclines to allow the petition.



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.331 of 2025

WEB COPY

10. The learned District Judge, II Additional Family Court, Chennai, is hereby directed to transfer the entire records pertaining to the case, in H.M.O.P.No.5594 of 2024, to the file of the learned District Judge, Family Court, Madurai, within a period of **four** weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and on receipt of such records, the learned District Judge, Family Court, Madurai, is directed to take the case on file, try the same along with the H.M.O.P.No.150 of 2025 filed by the petitioner/wife and dispose of the cases as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

11. Accordingly, this Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition stands allowed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected Civil Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

31.07.2025

Index :Yes/No
Speaking Order :Yes/No
Neutral Citation :Yes/No
TM



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.331 of 2025

WEB COPY **To**

- 1.The District Judge, II Additional Family Court, Chennai.
- 2.The District Judge, Family Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.331 of 2025

K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

TM

Tr.CMP.(MD)No.331 of 2025
and
C.M.P.(MD)No.9023 of 2025

31.07.2025