



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.136 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.06.2025

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI**

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.136 of 2025

M.Ramalakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,  
O/o. Superintendent of Police,  
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
O/o. Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Inspector of Police,  
Perunazhi Police Station,  
Ramanathapuram District.

4.Muthuraman

5.Guruvammal

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to provide adequate police protection by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 31.05.2025 and to take action.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.136 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ramasundarvijayraj

For R1 to R3 : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to the official respondents to give adequate Police protection to the petitioner.

2.The petitioner is having some issues with his brother. According to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the petitioner was assaulted by his brother and hence, he lodged a complaint dated 21.05.2025. However, the same has been treated as only petition enquiry. According to the petitioner, the respondent Police has not taken any action and therefore, the private respondents are often creating problem. Therefore, the petitioner has filed the present petition seeking Police protection.



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.136 of 2025

**WEB COPY** 3. This type of prayer cannot be entertained. At the same time, if the petitioner has lodged a complaint and the same has been treated as petition enquiry, it has to be given to its logical end by conducting proper enquiry. In the event if any cognizable offence is being made out on the petitioner's complaint, then the third respondent Police has to register a case. Keeping the petition enquiry pending for more than two weeks is not permissible. Therefore, the third respondent Police is directed to take appropriate action on complaint of the petitioner and ensure that the parties are living peacefully. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

**12.06.2025**

NCC : Yes / No  
Index : Yes / No  
Internet : Yes / No  
gns



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.136 of 2025

WEB COPY To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,  
O/o. Superintendent of Police,  
Ramanathapuram District.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
O/o. Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,  
Perunazhi Police Station,  
Ramanthapuram District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.136 of 2025

**B.PUGALENDHI, J.**

gns

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.136 of 2025

12.06.2025