



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9835 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04.11.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.9835 of 2025

Ilaiyarani

... Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. By Inspector of Police,
Dindigul DCB Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.3 of 2024)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Sarvagan Prabhu

For Respondent : M/s.M.Aasha
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.3 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9835 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 465, 468, 471, 506(1) of IPC, in Crime No.3 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant met A1, after verification defacto complainant's education certificates, he promised to arrange for a Government Job. In such circumstances A1 approached the defacto complainant to come to Chennai to get an appointment order. On believing the words of A1, the defacto complainant along with his paternal uncle went to Chennai and A1 produced an appointment order appointing the defacto complainant as a Junior Assistant in the department of the Public Relationship Office. For said appointment on various dates, A1 received a sum of Rs.37,20,000/-. The defacto complainant approached A1 for appointment order, but there was no proper answer from A1. Lastly, the defacto complainant in the year 2022, went to Karur and met the petitioner and A4. But the petitioner informed the defacto complainant not to spoil 1st accused's name in this aspect and promised to return back the money and also threatened the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9835 of 2025

defacto complainant. When the defacto complainant met A1 along with his father, both were threatened by A1. Moreover A1 under false pretext cheated one Ramakrishnan to get a job at PRO Office. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioner are serious in nature. Further, Accused Nos.1, 2, 4 and 5 were arrested and the 4th accused was released on station bail.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9835 of 2025

sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, Dindigul District within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- (Rupees Three Lakh Only), to the credit of Crime No.3 of 2024 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, Dindigul District. After receipt of entire amount, the learned Judicial Magistrate, shall deposit the said amount in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any Nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is passed in the case in Crime No.3 of 2024. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment.

[c]the petitioner shall report before the respondent police at evening 06.00 p.m., on every Saturday and Sunday for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[d]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9835 of 2025

[e]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[f]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

04.11.2025

TMG

TO

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Dindigul, Dindigul District

2.The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul DCB Police Station,
Dindigul District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9835 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9835 of 2025

Date : 04.11.2025