



CRL OP(MD).No.9686 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10/06/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.9686 of 2025

Balachandar,
S/o.Rethinam,
Kaliyammankovil Street,
Keezha Sooriyamoolai,
Thiruvidadaimaruthur Taluk,
Thanjavur District.

..Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppanandal Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.88 of 2025)

.. Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Veerapandi.
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.88 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

<https://www.mhc.m.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD).No.9686 of 2025

ORDER: This Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.05.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS Act r/w.25(1-A) of Arms Act in Crime No.88 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto-complainant and the petitioner are residing in the same street. Due to previous enmity, on 07.05.2025, the petitioner came to the house of the Defacto-complainant and called her husband and when it was questioned by the Defacto-complainant, suddenly the petitioner kicked her and threatened her with dire consequences by showing sickle. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 08.05.2025 nearly 32 days. Hence, he seeks bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that due to previous enmity, the petitioner went to the house of the defacto-complainant attacked and threatened her with dire consequences by showing sickle. Due to which, the defacto-complainant had sustained simple injury. On 10.05.2025, she



CRL OP(MD).No.9686 of 2025

WEB COPY

discharged from hospital. This petitioner is having seven previous cases. Hence, he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.


5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that the injured person was discharged from hospital, petitioner/accused remanded into judicial custody on 08.05.2025, taking into consideration of the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvidadaimaruthur and on further conditions that :-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvidadaimaruthur. If the petitioner changes his residential address, he shall report the same to the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvidadaimaruthur;

[c] the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 05.30p.m. until further orders.



CRL OP(MD).No.9686 of 2025

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

sd/-
10/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/06/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GVN
TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVIDAIMARUTHUR.
2. DO THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.



CRL OP(MD).No.9686 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
SUB-JAIL,
THIRUVIDAIMARUTHUR,

4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THIRUPPANANDAL POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

5. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.P.VEERAPANDI, Advocate (SR-6111[I] dated 10/06/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9686 of 2025
Date :10/06/2025

HPS/10.06.2025 /5P/7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023