



CRL OP(MD). No.9622 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.06.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.9622 of 2025

Mani @ Subramanian,
S/o.Narayanan Servai

...Petitioner / Accused No.4

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Kalaiyarkovil Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.333 of 2000)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Madhusudanan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS, 2023.

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.333 of 2000 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.09.2024 for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 324, 307, 506(ii) and 302 of



CRL OP(MD). No.9622 of 2025

Indian Penal Code, 1860, in Crime No.333 of 2000 on the file of the respondent
WEB COPY
police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with 17 other accused persons, formed themselves into an unlawful assembly with deadly weapons, committed riot, attempted to commit murder, and also committed the murder of the deceased. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 23.09.2024. Hence, he seeks bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that there are totally eighteen accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A4. He would further submit that the investigation in this case has been completed and the charge sheet has been filed. The same was taken on file in S.C. No. 5 of 2005 before the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Sivagangai and later split up as against the petitioner in S.C. No. 118 of 2014. In this case, four accused persons were acquitted. He would further submit that the petitioner had already moved a bail petition before the Principal District and Sessions Judge,



CRL OP(MD). No.9622 of 2025

Sivagangai, in Cr.M.P. No. 1136 of 2025, and the same was dismissed on 28.04.2025.

WEB COPY

He, however, submits that at this stage, if bail is granted to the petitioner, he will abscond and tamper with the evidence and thereby delay the trial proceedings. He, therefore, opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court has heard the learned counsel on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that if bail is granted to the petitioner, he will abscond and tamper with the evidence, and thereby delay the trial proceedings. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
10/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/06/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.9622 of 2025

WEB COPY
PAL

TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KALAIYARKOVIL POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
2. THE SUPERINTEDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, TRICHY
3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.9622 of 2025
Date :10/06/2025

PR/27.06 .2025 4P/4C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023