



CRL OP(MD). No.9635 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.9635 of 2025

T.Selvaraj,
S/o.Thangaraj

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Usilampatti Taluk Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.68 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Senthil Kumaraiah,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.9635 of 2025

WEB COPY

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.68 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 108 of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.68 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is the wife of the deceased. The deceased was employed as a Clerk in the PACCB Society, and the petitioner worked as an Appraiser in the same Society. The petitioner allegedly received duplicate jewels from 14 persons, pledged them in their names, and renewed the same. Unaware of these facts, the deceased signed the registration notes and maintained the records. Upon discovering the true facts, when the deceased questioned the petitioner, the petitioner stated that the deceased was responsible for the pledged duplicate jewels. Due to this incident, the deceased committed suicide. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.9635 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that suicidal note of the deceased was recovered, which clearly indicates that the petitioner was the one who pledged the duplicate jewels. He further submitted that there is no previous case against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and considering the specific overt act against the petitioner, and also considering the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
10/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/06/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

mkn
TO

1.The Inspector of Police,
Usilampatti Taluk Police Station,
Madurai District.



CRL OP(MD). No.9635 of 2025

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9635 of 2025
Date :10/06/2025

MK/30.06.2025 4P/3C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023