



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9453 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13.10.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.9453 of 2025

Seenivasan @ Srinivasan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
C.C.B.Police Station,
Trichy City.
(Crime No.02 of 2024)

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Sathiaraj

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.02 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9453 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 417, 420, 465, 468, 471 and 506(1) of IPC, in Crime No.02 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that one Muruganatham requested the defacto complainant to lend him some money to redeem his property from a bank. Further he said that after redemption of property the same will be sold and amount borrowed from de-facto complainant will be paid with interest and principal. Believing the same, the defacto complainant has transferred approximately around Rs.3.5 Crores/- on several occasion. But later the said Muruganatham said that the above amount was invested to purchase 600 acres of land at Tenkasi Taluk along with several persons. Further, the said Muruganatham and several persons including this petitioner have urged the de-facto complainant to invest more money to complete the deal. Believing those words, the de-facto Complainant has invested approximately Rs.6,10,00,000/-. The Muruganatham and several persons including this petitioner had executed documents independently towards the liability. The said Muruganatham and others have cheated the de-facto complainant. When it was questioned by the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9453 of 2025

de-facto complainant, the de-facto complainant was threatened with dare consequence. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioner.

3.The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioner are serious in nature. Further, the charge sheet has been filed.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the age of the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, No.1,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9453 of 2025

Trichy, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioner shall report before the Trial Court as and when required.

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

13.10.2025

TMG



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9453 of 2025

WEB COPY

- TO
1. Judicial Magistrate, No.1,
Trichy.
 - 2.The Inspector of Police,
C.C.B.Police Station,
Trichy City.
 - 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9453 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9453 of 2025

Date : 13.10.2025