



WEB COPY

C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2020
in A.S.(MD)No.13 of 2020

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.10.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2020
in AS(MD)No.13 of 2020

1. Dhanalakshmi
2. Kalai Selvi
3. Chandramathi
4. Kavitha
5. Gomathi
6. P.Nithiyaanatham
7. Jai Kumar

.... Petitioners

Vs.

1. M.Ramesh,
 2. Minor.R.Saran,,
- Represented by His Father and Natural
Guardian M.Ramesh/1st Respondent

..Respondents



WEB COPY

C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2020
in A.S.(MD)No.13 of 2020

PRAYER: Civil Miscellaneous Petition filed under Order 32 Rule 2 of C.P.C., to pass an order appointing the 1st respondent, the father of minor 2nd respondent to represent the minor in the proceedings as natural guardian.

For Petitioners : Mr.H.Arumugam

For Respondents : Mr.H.Lakshmi Shankar

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.**)

Two separate memos have been filed by the learned counsel for the petitioners in C.M.P.(MD)Nos.6059 and 6057 of 2020. In the said memo, which are similar, it had been contended that the second respondent, who has been shown as a minor in the cause title, has since attained the age of majority. It is therefore, requested that the said fact may be noted and necessary amendment in the cause title may be made. Both the memos are recorded. Registry is directed to carry out necessary amendment in the cause title in C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of



WEB COPY

C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2020
in A.S.(MD)No.13 of 2020

2020 recording that the second respondent R.Saran is a major and therefore, he need not be represented by his father as natural guardian.

2. Consequently, C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2020 is closed.

3. Since C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2020 is closed, it is implied that we have not passed any order appointing the father viz., M.Ramesh as natural guardian of R.Saran and therefore, Registry may carry out necessary amendment in the cause title in C.M.P.(MD)No.6057 of 2020.

4. We also take judicial notice of the fact that though separate memo has not been filed in C.M.P.(MD)No.6060 of 2020, but, that however, the second respondent has actually attained the age of majority. Therefore, the memos which had been filed in C.M.P.(MD)No.6057 of 2020 could also be read into C.M.P. (MD)No.6060 of 2020 and Registry may carry out necessary amendment so far as the second respondent is concerned, by deleting the portions relating to categorizing him as minor and being represented by his father as natural guardian.



WEB COPY

C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2020
in A.S.(MD)No.13 of 2020

**5. List the appeal along with pending miscellaneous petitions on
15.10.2025 for advancing arguments.**

(C.V.K., J.) (R.V., J.)
08.10.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
vsm



WEB COPY



C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2020
in A.S.(MD)No.13 of 2020

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.
and
R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

vsm

C.M.P.(MD)No.6059 of 2025
in AS(MD)No.13 of 2020

08.10.2025