



CRL OP(MD). No.9518 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24/06/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.9518 of 2025

Murugan,
S/o.Veerappan

... Petitioner/ A1

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Namana Samuthiram Police Station,
Pudukottai District.
(Crime No.61 of 2024)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Charmurugan,
Advocate
for M/s.Char Murugan Law
Associates

For Respondent : Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS



CRL OP(MD). No.9518 of 2025

WEB COPY
PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.61 of 2024 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 354, 509, 502, 506(1) and 499 of IPC r/w. Sections 66E and 71 of the Information Technology Act in Crime No.61 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with the other accused, recorded some obscene videos of the de-facto complainant's daughter and used them to intimidate her. They also sent the videos to her prospective groom and the marriage broker, which led to the cancellation of the marriage. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is the 4th anticipatory bail application filed before this Court. The petitioner is an innocent person and is having two children. The petitioner has not committed any offence as



CRL OP(MD). No.9518 of 2025

WEB COPY

alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the de-facto complainant's daughter has married one Manikandan before one year and is now living happily. He however submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that there are totally three accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. A2 and A3 have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. He fairly conceded the fact that the de-facto complainant's daughter has married one Manikandan a year ago. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also taking note of the fact that as the date of registration of F.I.R. is 30.05.2024, by this time most of the investigation might have been completed, and that the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court, and in view of the change in circumstances that the victim is now married, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.



CRL OP(MD). No.9518 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;



CRL OP(MD). No.9518 of 2025

WEB COPY

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

sd/-
24/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

mkn



CRL OP(MD). No.9518 of 2025

WEB COPY
TO

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Thirumayam.

2. Do Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Pudukottai District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Namana Samuthiram Police Station,
Pudukottai District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.M/S.CHAR MURUGAN LAW ASSOCIATE, Advocate (SR-6737[I]
dated 25/06/2025)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.9518 of 2025

Date :24/06/2025

HPS/08.07.2025 /6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023