



CRL OP(MD). No.9526 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13.06.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.9526 of 2025

Jayakodi,
D/o.Thangasamy

...Petitioner/ Accused No.5

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.70 of 2025)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kasirajan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS, 2023.

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.70 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.9526 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY


The petitioner / accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.03.2025 for the offences under Sections 103, 191(2), 191(3), 190, 127(2), 61(2) and 49 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No.70 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that One Meenakshi, the wife of the deceased Glamour Kali, lodged a complaint before the respondent police stating that she is the second wife of the deceased and resides at MMC Colony, Avaniyapuram, Madurai. She has two daughters, namely Thuvaraka and Priya. The deceased had two wives; the first wife resides with her mother-in-law at Mela Anuppanadi, Madurai. The deceased, Glamour Kali, was involved in several criminal cases, including murder, and was an associate of one V.K. Gurusamy. There was a long-standing enmity between the group of V.K. Gurusamy and that of Rajapandi, which had led to several retaliatory murders in the area. While so, on 22.03.2025 at about 06.00 p.m., the deceased visited the defacto complainant and left her residence at around 08.30 p.m. On the same day, at about 09.45 p.m., the defacto complainant received information that her husband had been murdered near the Muniswaran Temple. She immediately



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 30.03.2025. Hence, he seeks bail.

3/5



CRL OP(MD). No.9526 of 2025

WEB COPY

his face. He would also submit that there are two previous cases against the petitioner. He would further submit that although the investigation in this case is almost complete, if bail is granted to the petitioner, he will abscond and tamper with the evidence and will cause threat to the defacto complainant. He, therefore, opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court has heard the learned counsel on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner, and also considering the ongoing enmity between the two groups and also taking note the stage of the case, this Court is of the view that if the petitioner is released on bail, he may indulge in tampering with the witnesses and the investigation. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
13/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/06/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

PAL

4/5



CRL OP(MD). No.9526 of 2025

WEB COPY

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AUSTINPATTI POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

2 THE SUPERINTENDENT
WOMEN CENTRAL PRISON, MADURAI.

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.9526 of 2025

Date :13/06/2025

SS/SAR- /30/06/2025/ 5P/4C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023