



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9336 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 05.06.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9336 of 2025

R.Selvaraj

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai District.

2.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Sellur Circle, Koodalpuhur,
Madurai District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Koodalpuhur Police Station,
Madurai District.

4.P.Ashokkumar

...Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to issue a direction directing the respondents 2 and 3 not to interfere in the civil dispute and thereby not to harass the petitioner in the name of enquiry based on the 4th respondent complaint.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Muruganantham

For R1 to R3 : Mr.P.Kottaichamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)




Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9336 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, an auto-consultant has approached this Court that the police are harassing him under the guise of enquiry based on the complaint of the 4th respondent.

2.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), who takes notice for the respondent police submits that the 4th respondent has lodged a complaint against the petitioner that the petitioner in the course of business transaction has received a sum of Rs.30 lakh from him, but has cheated without repaying and without producing any accounts. Based on the said complaint, a petition enquiry has been conducted by the 3rd respondent and during the enquiry, the petitioner has appeared and given a written statement admitting receipt of Rs.30 lakh from the 4th respondent and further stated that he had already paid Rs.16 lakh and remaining Rs.14 lakh would be paid within a period of six months. Therefore, according to the learned Government Advocate, they have closed the petition enquiry.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9336 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.It appears to be a business transaction between the petitioner and the 4th respondent. The petitioner has admitted the receipt of money.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner has also endorsed the statement given by the petitioner before the respondent police. Though the respondent police are not supposed to deal with the civil disputes, considering the submission of the learned Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has given undertaking that he would settle the issue and the submission of the learned Government Advocate that they have closed the petition enquiry, this petition is closed as no further order is required.

05.06.2025

DSK

To

- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Sellur Circle, Koodalputhur,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Koodalputhur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9336 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J

DSK

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9336 of 2025

05.06.2025