

W.P.Crl.(MD)Nos.30 and 31 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 18.06.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI


W.P.Crl.(MD)Nos.30 and 31 of 2025

R.Pushpavalli

... Petitioner in
both W.Ps.

versus

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Nachiyar Kovil Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
3. Murugesan
4. Selvaraji
5. Ravi
6. Kumar
7. Prakash
8. Vijayakanth



W.P.Crl.(MD)Nos.30 and 31 of 2025

9. Rajinikanth

10. Sathishkumar

11. Poonkothai

12. Ramakrishnan @ Madhurai
Ramakrishnan

... Respondents in
both W.Ps.

Prayer in W.P.Crl.(MD)No.30 of 2025: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to provide police protection to the petitioner for doing agriculture in Survey Nos.302/6, 302/7, 302/15 and 302/16 situated at Thandathottam Village, Kumbakonam Taluk, Thanjavur District, who is facing life threat at the hands of the respondents 3 to 12, who are trying to cutoff the trees and destroy the plantations overnight.

Prayer in W.P.Crl.(MD)No.30 of 2025: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to provide police protection to the petitioner and her family members who is facing life threat at the hands of the respondents 3 to 12, who are indulging in land grabbing activities.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)Nos.30 and 31 of 2025

For Petitioner in
both W.Ps. : Mr.P.Venkatesan
For R1 and R2
in both W.P.s : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

COMMON ORDER

The petitioner has moved these petitions that the respondents 3 to 12 are preventing her from cultivating the land and also posing life threat to her and therefore, she sought for police protection for cultivation.

2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that there is a civil dispute between the petitioner and respondents 3 to 12. Therefore, the petitioner's complaint was enquired and the same was closed advising the petitioner to workout her remedy before the Civil Forum.

3. This Court considered the rival submissions made.



W.P.Crl.(MD)Nos.30 and 31 of 2025

WEB COPY 4. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents 3 to 12 are preventing her from cultivating the land. The petitioner is having a remedy before the Civil Court by filing a suit seeking injunction against the respondents 3 to 12.

5. Therefore, both the writ petitions are closed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Civil Court and obtain necessary orders. No costs.

18.06.2025

ogy
NCC : Yes / No.
Index : Yes / No.
Internet : Yes / No.

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur District.



W.P.Crl.(MD)Nos.30 and 31 of 2025

2. The Inspector of Police,
Nachiyar Kovil Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)Nos.30 and 31 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

W.P.Crl.(MD)Nos.30 and 31 of 2025

18.06.2025