



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9240 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 25.06.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9240 of 2025

Veerapandian

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Tirupathur Sub Division,
Sivagangai District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Singampunari Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 BNSS, to issue a direction, directing the third respondent police not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry based on the petitioner's representation dated 19.04.2025.

For Petitioner

: Mr.Veerakumar.T

For Respondents

: Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate(Crl.side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner has approached this Court that the third respondent Police is harassing him under the guise of enquiry.

2.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondents submits that the petitioner has already involved in two criminal cases in Cr.No.104 of 2011 for the offences under Section 4(1) (k) of the Tamilnadu Prohibition Act and in Cr.No.109 of 2016 for the offence under Section 4(1)(a) of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act. Therefore, the respondent Police, apprehending that the petitioner would indulge in similar cases, have made a request to execute a bond under Section 128 BNSS. According to the learned Government Advocate(Crl.side), the respondent Police are not harassing the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the above said two cases were registered while the petitioner was working as bar attendant at Singampunari, for statistical purpose and the owner of the bar has also paid the fine amount. According to the learned counsel,



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9240 of 2025

the petitioner has quit the bar and now working at the food industry.


However, the respondent Police, without believing the same, is harassing the petitioner.

4.This Court has considered the submissions made on either side and perused the available materials.

5.Since the petitioner claims that he has already quit the bar, the petitioner is directed to appear before the respondent Police and explain about his avocation. The respondent Police shall verify the same and shall not harass the petitioner. The petitioner shall also file an undertaking affidavit that he will not indulge in any offence under the Prohibition Act. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is closed.

25.06.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet:Yes
gns



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9240 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Tirupathur Sub Division,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Singampunari Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9240 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI,J

gns

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9240 of 2025

25.06.2025