



CRL OP(MD).No.9564 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/06/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.9564 of 2025

Ajith @ Ajithkumar,
S/o.Siva,
Chokkalinga Chettiyar Street,
Na.Pudur, Karaikudi Taluk,
Sivagangai District.

..Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by The Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi North Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.103 of 2025)

.. Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Senthil Murugan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.103 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD).No.9564 of 2025

ORDER: This Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.03.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 49, 126(2), 296(b) & 351(3) of BNS, 2023 @ U/s.126(2), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25(1-A) of Arms Act in Crime No.103 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that already the Defacto-complainant and the petitioner are having property dispute. On 20.01.2025, at about 05.30a.m. The defacto-complainant has gone to Gym situated near Nattukoli Puliyamaram, at that time, the petitioner and other accused persons forcibly entered into the premises and threatened the defacto-complainant with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide and conditions that may be imposed by this Court. This petition is a second bail application. He would further submit that the petitioner is in custody from 28.03.2025 nearly 33 days. Hence, he seeks bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that due to previous enmity the petitioner and other accused persons entered into the premises of the defacto-complainant and threatened him with dire consequences and gave him



CRL OP(MD).No.9564 of 2025

WEB COPY

life threat. In this case, this petitioner was arrayed as first accused. In this case, other accused persons i.e., A2 and A4 were released on bail. This petitioner is having twenty two previous cases. This petitioner is a History-sheeter, H.S.No.529 of 2018. Investigation is not yet completed. Hence, he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that the other co-accused were granted bail, the petitioner/accused remanded into judicial custody on 28.03.2025, taking into consideration of the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi and on further conditions that :-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi. If the petitioner changes his residential address, he shall report the same to the Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi;



CRL OP(MD).No.9564 of 2025

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 05.30p.m.until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

sd/-

09/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

09/06/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GVN

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KARAIKUDI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

<https://www.hmc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD).No.9564 of 2025

3 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
DISTRICT PRISON, SIVAGANGAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KARAIKUDI NORTH POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9564 of 2025
Date :09/06/2025

SA/SAR. /09.06.2025/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.