

CRL OP(MD).No.10024 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 24.06.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD).No.10024 of 2025

Vinoth Kumar

... Petitioner / Accused No.1

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Thirupullani Police Station,  
Ramanathapuram District.  
(Crime No.32 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

**PRAYER** : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) for Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.32 of 2025  
on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Joseph Jerry,  
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,  
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

**ORDER** : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for



CRL OP(MD).No.10024 of 2025

WEB COPY

the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of the BNS, 2023, in Crime No.32 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's son is a mentally retarded person. Due to a misunderstanding or verbal altercation with others, on 22.02.2025 at about 4.00 p.m., while the defacto complainant's son was standing near the Mariyamman Temple, seven persons came there in a car, abused him in filthy language, and assaulted him using a sickle and wooden logs on his left wrist, right foot, right hand, left shoulder, and palm, thereby causing grievous injuries. When one Veerapathiran questioned the incident, he was also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He would further submit that the co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by the Trial Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that there are totally seven accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as



CRL OP(MD).No.10024 of 2025

A1. He would further submit that there are no previous cases against the petitioner.

WEB COPY


He would also submit that the injured person was discharged from the hospital.

However, he opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court has heard the learned counsel on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner and also taking note of the fact that the co-accused person has already been granted anticipatory bail by the Trial Court, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD).No.10024 of 2025

WEB COPY

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.


(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram.

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*.



CRL OP(MD).No.10024 of 2025

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under  
WEB COPY  
Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

8. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated *supra*.

sd/-  
24/06/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025  
Sub-Assistant Registrar  
( C.S. I / II / III / IV )  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

PAL

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,  
RAMANATHAPURAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
THIRUPULLANI POLICE STATION,  
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI.

+1. CC to A. JOSEPH JERRY Advocate SR.No.6740 (I) DT.25/06/2025



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD).No.10024 of 2025

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.10024 of 2025  
Date :24/06/2025

NM/10.07.2025/ 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023