



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8827 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13.10.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.8827 of 2025

1.Samuvel

2.Amutha

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
Eraniel Police Station,
Kanniyakumari District.
(Crime No.228 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.S.G.L.Rishwanth

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.228 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8827 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 506(1) and 420 of IPC, in Crime No.228 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the Petitioners/Accused No. 1 and 2 were running a Trust in the name of Angel Foundation Trust. While so, the Accused No.3 and 4 on learning that the defacto complainant Durai's son was preparing for Medical Entrance had approached the defacto complainant and had promised to get his son medical a seat at C.M.C. Vellore through the Angel Foundation Trust and had demanded money. Believing their words, the defacto complainant had sent a sum of Rs.7,41,500/- through his bank account on various dates from 09.08.2021 to 10.01.2022. But subsequently they did not get the Medical seat as promised. Hence, the Defacto Complainant demanded his money back and on 28.12.2022. The Petitioner/Accused No.1 had sent a cheque for Rs.2,00,000/- but it bounced due to insufficiency of funds. On 25.09.2023 he preferred a complaint before the Respondent Police but after enquiry they dropped the case as no prima material was available and hence the defacto complainant preferred a petition before the learned Judicial Magistrate,



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8827 of 2025

Eraniel in Cr.M.P.No.779 of 2024 dated 29.02.2024. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8827 of 2025

order and on further conditions that:

WEB COPY

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Fifty Thousand Only) **each**, to the credit of Crime No.228 of 2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel. After receipt of entire amount, the learned Judicial Magistrate, shall deposit the said amount in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any Nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is passed in the case in Crime No.228 of 2025. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment.

[c]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required.

[d]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8827 of 2025

petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

13.10.2025

TMG

TO

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Eraniel

2.The Inspector of Police,
Eraniel Police Station,
Kanniyakumari District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8827 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8827 of 2025

Date : 13.10.2025