



CRL OP(MD). No.8688 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO. 8688 of 2025

1.Kalavathi

2.Ragupathi

..Petitioners/
Accused Nos.3 & 4

Vs

State Of Tamilnadu,

Rep By The Inspector Of Police,
City Crime Branch (CCB) Police Station,
Tirunelveli City.

(Crime No.8 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.C.Joseph Sathianeson

For Respondent(s):

Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.8 of 2025 on
the file of the Respondent Police.

1/8



CRL OP(MD). No.8688 of 2025

WEB COPY ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A3 and A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 466, 471 and 420 of IPC, in Crime No.8 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a civil dispute pending between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. The petitioners and other accused persons created a forged legal heir certificate of one Arunachalam Nayakkar, who is the father-in-law of the defacto complainant. Thereafter, they executed a released deed in Doc.No.2443 of 2024, dated 17.05.2024, in favour of A2 and sold the same to one Arumugam for a sum of Rs.75,00,000/-. Hence, a case was registered.



CRL OP(MD). No.8688 of 2025

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He, however, submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners created false documents and registered the properties in the name of A2 and sold the same to some other persons. There are no previous cases registered against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, and also taking note of the fact that



CRL OP(MD). No.8688 of 2025

there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



CRL OP(MD). No.8688 of 2025

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli. In the event of any change in their residential addresses, the petitioners shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation



CRL OP(MD). No.8688 of 2025

or trial;

WEB COPY

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

25.10.2025

vsg

6/8



CRL OP(MD). No.8688 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate
No.I, Tirunelveli.

2.The Inspector Of Police,
City Crime Branch (CCB) Police Station,
Tirunelveli City.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.8688 of 2025

S. SRIMATHY. J. ,

vsg

Cr1.O.P. (MD) .No.8688 of 2025

Date : 25.10.2025