



CRL OP(MD). No.8372 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 08.05.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.8372 of 2025

Sakthivel

... Petitioner / Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep.By the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thiruverambur,
Trichy.
(Crime No. 13 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant


For Petitioner : Mr.Dr.R.Alagumani,
for Mr.S.Ramesh Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For bail in Crime No. 13 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.
ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No.8372 of 2025

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 05.05.2025 under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) praying to grant bail.

2. The petitioner/ accused no.1 was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.04.2025 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 498(A), 323, 506(1) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 in Crime No.13 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute arose between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, the petitioner herein abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and attacked her with a knife and caused injury to her. The further allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner herein demanded additional dowry from the defacto complainant. Hence the case.

4. Mr.Dr.R.Alagumani, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 22.04.2025. He however submits that the



CRL OP(MD). No.8372 of 2025


petitioner is ready to abide by any condition to be imposed by this Court. He
WEB COPY
therefore prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Mr.S.S.Manoj, Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that the petitioner herein demanded additional dowry from the petitioner herein and caused injury to her. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. The petitioner was arrested on 22.04.2025 and has been in judicial custody since then. Considering the nature of offences alleged against the petitioner and also considering the period of incarceration, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, however, subject to certain conditions. Accordingly, bail is granted to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall execute a bond for Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Mahila Judge, Trichy;



CRL OP(MD). No.8372 of 2025

WEB COPY

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Additional Mahila Judge, Trichy, shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity;

(iii) The petitioner shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond to be executed under Chapter XXXV of BNSS, 2023;

(iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected;


(v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

(vi) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Additional Mahila Judge, Trichy;

(vii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(viii) The petitioner shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house or workplace;

(ix) The petitioner shall not try to contact the defacto complainant either



CRL OP(MD). No.8372 of 2025

directly or through any electronic mode;

WEB COPY

(x) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Additional Mahila Judge, Trichy is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
08/05/2025


/ TRUE COPY /

/05/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP
TO

1.THE JUDGE
THE ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT, TRICHY

2.THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, TRICHY.



CRL OP(MD). No.8372 of 2025

3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVERAMBUR,
TRICHY.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.DR.R.ALAGUMANI, Advocate (SR-5467[I] dated 09/05/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8372 of 2025
Date :08/05/2025

HPS/12.05.2025 /6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023