



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8346 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 02.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8346 of 2025

P.Sudhakar

... Petitioner

versus

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Navalpattu Police Station,
Trichy.

... Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking a direction to the 1st respondent to direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner on the guise of enquiry and further to take action against the respondent police in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.G.Arunkumar

For Respondents : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this petition seeking a direction as against the respondent Police not to harass him under the guise of enquiry.

1/5



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8346 of 2025

WEB COPY 2. Mr.P.Kottaichamy, learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side), takes notice for the respondents and submits that the petitioner is a history sheeted rowdy and he is involved in the following cases.

(i) Navalpattu Police Station – Cr.No.129/14 u/s. 107 Cr.P.C.

(ii) Navalpattu Police Station – Cr.No.98/16 u/s.107 Cr.P.C.

(iii) Navalpattu Police Station – Cr.No.500/21 u/s. 387, 506(ii) IPC

Trichy city:

(iv) Airport Police Station – Cr.No.13/16 u/s. 294(b), 448 IPC, 3(1) TNPPDL Act @ 147, 294(b), 448 IPC

(v) K.K.Nagar Police Station – Cr.No.332/16, u/s. 294(b), 341, 353, 506(1) IPC

(vi) Woraiyur Police Station – Cr.No.193/14, u/s. 302, 120(b), 34, 109, 114 IPC

Pudukkottai city:

(vii) Pudukkottai Police Station – Cr.No.489/2000, u/s. 302 IPC

(viii) Ganesh Nagar Police Station – Cr.No.83/2005 u/s. 302 IPC

(ix) Pudukkottai Town Police Station – Cr.No. 105/14 u/s.302, 294(b), 324, 506(ii), 449, 114, 109, 212



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8346 of 2025

IPC.

WEB COPY

Therefore, according to the learned Government Advocate, this is a routine check up to ascertain as to whether he is indulging in any other offence and it is not a harassment.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the criminal cases pending against the petitioner have been closed and he has been acquitted and as on date, he is not having any criminal case. Even then, the respondent Police is harassing him.

4. This Court considered the rival submissions made.

5. Admittedly, the petitioner was involved in several cases, out of which, three cases are murder cases and a history sheet was also opened as against the petitioner.

6. The contention of the respondent Police is that it is only a periodical check up and not a harassment. Law and order is the responsibility of the respondent Police. Therefore, they are expected to verify the present condition of the accused, particularly, a history sheeted



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8346 of 2025

accused.

WEB COPY

7. Recording the submission of the learned Government Advocate that it is only a regular check up and usual verification, this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

02.06.2025

Index : Yes / No.

Internet : Yes / No.

NCC : Yes / No.

ogy

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Navalpattu Police Station,
Trichy.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8346 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8346 of 2025

02.06.2025