

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10483 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 23.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10483 of 2025

M.Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

1. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. The Superintendent of Police,
Tenkasi District.
 2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police (Special Cell),
District Anti Land Grabbing Cell,
District Collector Office Campus,
Tenkasi District.
 3. The Inspector of Police,
Surandai Police Station,
Surandai,
Tenkasi District.
 4. Subramanian @ Raj
 5. A.Neelakandan
 6. V.Nallasivam
 7. The Sub Registrar,
O/o. The Sub Registrar,
Surandai,
Tenkasi District.
- ... Respondents



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10483 of 2025

WEB CO

Prayer : Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to direct the 2nd respondent to complete the enquiry against the respondents 4 to 6 based on the petitioner's wife's complaint dated 11.12.2023 and consequently, take the appropriate legal action against the respondents 4 to 6.

For Petitioner : M/s.M.Punitha Deva Kumar

For R1 to R3 : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)

For R7 : Mr.F.Deepak,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The petitioner has moved this petition, seeking a direction to the respondent Police to take action on his wife's complaint dated 11.12.2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has received some money from the private respondents, for which, he has created a document of his property as security. The property worth about crore rupees has been taken away by parting with a meager amount.

2/5




CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10483 of 2025

Therefore, the petitioner's wife has lodged a complaint before the respondent Police on 11.12.2023 and the same was not considered by the respondent Police. Therefore, the petitioner is before this Court.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the complaint dated 11.12.2023 was lodged only by the petitioner's wife and the same was enquired by the respondent Police and they found that there is a civil dispute between the petitioner's wife and the 4th respondent and a civil suit is also pending between the parties in O.S.No.2 of 2024. Therefore, they have closed the complaint on 08.03.2024. He further submits that the petitioner's daughter has lodged a complaint as against the 4th respondent for the offence punishable under the provisions of POCSO Act and the same has been registered in Crime No.15 of 2025. That apart, the petitioner's wife again lodged a complaint as against the 4th respondent on 20.06.2025 and the same was registered in Crime No. 22 of 2025.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) that the complaint lodged by the petitioner's wife



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10483 of 2025

dated 11.12.2023 was enquired by the respondent police and the same was closed on 08.03.2024, this Criminal Original Petition is closed with a direction to the respondent Police to furnish a copy of the closure report dated 08.03.2024 to the petitioner enabling him to workout his remedy in the manner known to law.

23.06.2025

Index : Yes / No.
Internet : Yes / No.
NCC : Yes / No.
ogy

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. The Superintendent of Police,
Tenkasi District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police (Special Cell),
District Anti Land Grabbing Cell,
District Collector Office Campus,
Tenkasi District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Surandai Police Station,
Surandai,
Tenkasi District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

4/5



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10483 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10483 of 2025

23.06.2025