



W.P(MD) NO.13107 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 30.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD) No.13107 of 2025

A/m.Sri Matha Angalaparameswari Ambal,
A/m.Sri Veerapulugaiyya Swami Thirukovil,
Nivaaga Parpaalana Mahasabai,
Rep. by its Secretary, A.Muthurajan.

... Petitioner

Vs


1. The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Srivilliputtur,
Virudhunagar District.

3. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Vanniyampatti Police Station,
Vanniyampatti,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 2 and 3 herein to grant adequate protection to the petitioner and other temple trustees, life and liberty for putting up compound wall and for fencing the temple lands



W.P(MD) NO.13107 of 2025

in Survey No. 590/3, Achanthavilthan Village, Srivilliputhur Taluk, Virudhunagar District, belonging to Arulmighu Sree Matha Angala Parameshwari Amman Temple of the said village, by considering the petitioner's complaint dated 30.03.2025.

For petitioner : Mr. V.R.Shanmuganathan

For R1 to R4 : Mr.M.Senthil Ayyanar,
Government Advocate

For R5 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner to direct the respondents 2 and 3 herein to grant adequate protection to the petitioner and other temple trustees, life and liberty for putting up compound wall and for fencing the temple lands in Survey No. 590/3, Achanthavilthan Village, Srivilliputhur Taluk, Virudhunagar District, belonging to Arulmighu Sree Matha Angala Parameshwari Amman Temple of the said village, by considering the petitioner's complaint dated 30.03.2025.

2. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondents



W.P(MD) NO.13107 of 2025

would submit that they have not received the petitioner's complaint so far.

If the petitioner gives fresh complaint, they will consider the same, in accordance with law.

3. Since the receipt of the complaint of the petitioner is denied by the respondents and the petitioner has not produced any proof to show that the complaint of the petitioner was received by the respondents, it is appropriate to direct the petitioner to approach the third respondent by way of filing fresh complaint and after receipt of the complaint, the third respondent is directed to consider the same and take appropriate action in accordance with law after affording opportunity to both the parties and dispose of the same without any delay. Accordingly, ordered.

4. With the abovesaid observations and directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

30.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd



W.P(MD) NO.13107 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Srivilliputtur,
Virudhunagar District.
3. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Vanniyampatti Police Station,
Vanniyampatti,
Virudhunagar District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD) NO.13107 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

W.P.(MD) No.13107 of 2025

30.04.2025