



W.P.(MD) No.12694 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 29.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P.(MD) No.12694 of 2025

Sathish Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Gandhi Market,
Trichy City.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palakkarai Police Station,
Trichy City.

3. Sahaya Rajakumar,
Middle Life Medicine and Surigicals Supplies,
Madurai Main Road, Melapudur,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to take appropriate action against the 3rd respondent on the basis of petitioner's online Complaint dated 06.02.2025 in the light of dictum laid down by the Honourable Supreme Court of India in the case of Lalitha Kumari Vs, Government of Uttar Pradesh within the stipulated time fixed by this Court.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.12694 of 2025

For Petitioner : Ms.T.Joshua

For R1 & R2 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the respondents no.1 and 2 to take appropriate action against the 3rd respondent on the basis of petitioner's online complaint dated 06.02.2025.

2. According to the petitioner, he sent the complaint dated 06.02.2025 to the respondent police as against the 3rd respondent, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that already the petitioner's complaint in C.S.R.No.125 of 2025 was considered and closed after enquiry. Therefore, nothing survives for further adjudication in this case.

4. Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the 3rd respondent inclined to pass order in this petition.



W.P.(MD) No.12694 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Since the petitioner's complaint was already considered and closed, nothing survives for further adjudication in this case. Today the copy of the closure report is served to the petitioner's counsel. Therefore, it is for the petitioner to challenge the same in the manner known to law, if he is aggrieved over the closure of the complaint. In view of the same, preserving the liberty of the petitioner to challenge the closure of the complaint in the manner known to law, this writ petition is closed.

29.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
Mac

To

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Gandhi Market,
Trichy City.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palakkarai Police Station,
Trichy City.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.12694 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

Mac

W.P.(MD) No.12694 of 2025

29.04.2025