

WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Reserved on	30.04.2025
Pronounced on	01.09.2025

C O R A M

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU

AND

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P.(MD) No.12505 of 2025 and
W.M.P.(MD) Nos.9104 and 9107 of 2025

V.Venkatraman

... Petitioner

-VS-

1. The Tamil Nadu State Level Scrutiny Committee,
Rep. by its Chairman and Secretary to Government,
Adi Dravidar and Tribal Welfare Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.

2. The Director of Tribal Welfare Department,
Chepauk, Chennai-600 005.

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of RDO, Madurai.

4. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Social Justice and Human Rights Unit,
Madurai City.

5. The Assistant Personnel Officer / SEM /
Divisional Personnel Officer,
Divisional Office, Southern Railway,
Madurai.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the
records relating to letter of the 3rd respondent in



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

Na.Ka.No.E-2927455/2025/F dated 27.02.2025 and Enquiry Summon issued by the 4th respondent in his Letter Na.Ka.No.46/17 U.Ka.A/SaNeeRuMaUP/2021 Govt/Letter/2563/CVIII/2014-4 dated 10.08.2017 issued on 01.02.2021, quash the same and consequently, declare the community status as “Hindu-Kammara Scheduled Tribes” based on the community certificate of the petitioner dated 27.02.1982 issued by the Tahsildar, Usilampatti and consequently forbear the respondents from re-opening the enquiry/re-verification pertaining to the permanent community certificate of the petitioner in the light of judgment reported in 1997 (7) Supreme Court Cases 505 (R.Kandhasamy vs. Chief Engineer, Port Trust).

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Madhankumar
For R1 to R4 : Mr.Veera Kathiravan
Addl. Advocate General
Assisted by Mrs.D.Farjana Ghoushia
Spl. Govt. Pleader
For R5 : Mr.K.Govindarajan, DSGI

ORDER

*(Order of the Court was made by **J.NISHA BANU,J.**)*

This writ petition has been filed, challenging the letter of the 3rd respondent in Na.Ka.No.E-2927455/2025/F dated 27.02.2025 and Enquiry Summon issued by the 4th respondent in his Letter Na.Ka.No.46/17 U.Ka.A/SaNeeRuMaUP/2021 Govt/Letter/2563/CVIII/2014-4 dated 10.08.2017 issued on 01.02.2021 with the prayer to declare the community status as “Hindu-Kammara Scheduled Tribes” based on the community



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

certificate of the petitioner dated 27.02.1982 issued by the Tahsildar, Usilampatti. The petitioner also sought to forbear the respondents from re-opening the enquiry/re-verification pertaining to the permanent community certificate of the petitioner in the light of judgment reported in 1997 (7) Supreme Court Cases 505 (R.Kandhasamy vs. Chief Engineer, Port Trust).

2. The case of the petitioner is that he belongs to Hindu Kammara (ST) community, which is classified as Scheduled Tribe (ST) under the Constitution Scheduled Tribes Order, 1950. He was issued with a community certificate on 27.02.1982 by the Tahsildar, Usilampatti. On the basis of the said certificate, he joined as Mechanical Khalasi in the Mechanical Depart of Madurai Division of Southern Railways under ST quota on 29.01.1986. After serving for nearly 35 years, he attained superannuation on 31.05.2021. After retirement, his terminal benefits were withheld, except provisional pension and thereafter, he received his retiral benefits upon furnishing an indemnity bond. While so, he was asked to appear before the 4th respondent for an enquiry, pursuant to which, he appeared on 10.08.2017 along with all relevant documents. Thereafter, there was no progress in the matter. Later, the 3rd respondent sent the impugned



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

letter dated 27.02.2025, stating that since he had made an appeal before the 1st respondent for community certificate, the petitioner was called for enquiry. According to him, the enquiry is not at all required, as he had retired from service 4 years ago. Challenging the impugned letters of the 3rd and 4th respondents, this writ petition is filed.

3. Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent contended that the petitioner was just called for enquiry to ascertain the genuineness of the community certificate produced by him at the time of joining service. No prejudice will be caused to the petitioner by verifying his community certificate once again and he may cooperate for the conduct of the enquiry.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondents 1 to 4, Mr.K.Govindarajan, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India for R5 and perused the material documents available on record.

5. It is seen from the records that the petitioner joined the



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

services of Souther Railways as early as in 1986 and retired from service on 31.05.2021. Therefore, questioning his community certificate, after a long time, that too, after a lapse of 4 years of his retirement is uncalled for.


6. The Apex Court in the case of **R.Sundaram vs. The Tamil Nadu State Level Scrutiny Committee and Others dated 17.03.2023**, in Paragraph No.16 held as follows:-

“16. It has been explicitly stated by this court that the exercise of verification of community certificate must be completed expeditiously. In the present case, however, as has been mentioned above, there has been an inordinate and unexplained delay of 19 years, an amount of time which cannot be fathomed, within the ambit of 'reasonable time'.”

7. In yet another case, the Supreme Court in the case of SLP(C) No.24458/2019 dated 03.03.2023, was pleased to hold as under:

“It is submitted that the respondent No.1 who served in the Railways has superannuated on 28.02.2022 and therefore, the exercise in this case would largely be academic on the aspect of whether she belonged to the claimed Scheduled Tribe category. Considering the above, we deem it is appropriate to order for closure of the proceedings. Accordingly, the Special leave Petition stands disposed of.”

8. The decision rendered by the Supreme Court in the case of **Kumari Madhuri Patil vs. Additional Commissioner**, reported in **1995**



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

AIR 94 weighs much importance in the case on hand, had elaborately dealt

with the issue of community certificate and observed that as per Article 15(4) of the Constitution of India, it is for the State to make special provisions for advancement of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. Further, it was observed that in the light of Article 16(1), equality of opportunity to all citizens in matters of appointments to an office or a post under the Union or a State Government or public undertakings etc., should be ensured. For that purpose, Article 16(4) empowers the State to make provisions for reservation of appointments or posts in favour of classes of citizens not adequately represented in the services under the State. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the said judgment while issuing certain guidelines, insisted that the community certificate in respect of SC/ST should be scrutinised at the earliest. For the sake of convenience, relevant paragraphs of the judgment are reproduced below:

“It is, therefore, necessary that the certificates issued are scrutinised at the earliest and with utmost expedition and promptitude. For that purpose, it is necessary to streamline the procedure for the issuance of social status certificates, their scrutiny and their approval, which may be the following:

1. The application for grant of social status certificate shall be made to the Revenue Sub-Divisional Officer and Deputy Collector or Deputy



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

Commissioner and the certificate shall be issued by such officer rather than at the Officer, Taluk or Mandal level.

2. The parent, guardian or the candidate, as the case may be, shall file an affidavit duly sworn and attested by a competent gazetted officer or non-gazetted officer with particulars of castes and sub-castes, tribe, tribal community, parts or groups of tribes or tribal communities, the place from which he originally hails from and other particulars as may be prescribed by the Directorate concerned.

3. Application for verification of the caste certificate by the Scrutiny Committee shall be filed at least six months in advance before seeking admission into educational institution or an appointment to a post.

4. All the State Governments shall constitute a Committee of three officers, namely, (1) an Additional or Joint Secretary or any officer higher in rank of the Director of the department concerned, (11) the Director, Social Welfare/Tribal Welfare/Backward Class Welfare, as the case may be, and (III) in the case of Scheduled Castes another officer who has intimate knowledge in the verification and issuance of the social status certificates.

In the case of the Scheduled Tribes, the Research Officer who has intimate knowledge in identifying the tribes, tribal communities, parts of or groups of tribes or tribal communities.

5. Each Directorate should constitute a vigilance cell consisting of Senior Deputy Superintendent of Police in over-all charge and such number of Police Inspectors to investigate into the social status claims. The Inspector would go to the local place of residence and original place from which the candidate hails and usually resides or in case of migration to



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

the town or city, the place from which he originally hailed from. The vigilance officer should personally verify and collect all the facts of the social status claimed by the candidate or the parent or guardian, as the case may be. He should also examine the school records, birth registration, if any. He should also examine the parent, guardian or the candidate in relation to their caste etc. or such other persons who have knowledge of the social status of the candidate and then submit a report to the Directorate together with all particulars as envisaged in the pro forma, in particular, of the Scheduled Tribes relating to their peculiar anthropological and ethnological traits, deity, rituals, customs, mode of marriage, death ceremonies, method of burial of dead bodies etc. by the castes or tribes or tribal communities concerned etc.

6. The Director concerned, on receipt of the report from the vigilance officer if he found the claim for social status to be "not genuine" or 'doubtful' or spurious or falsely or wrongly claimed, the Director concerned should issue show-cause notice supplying a copy of the report of the vigilance officer to the candidate by a registered post with acknowledgement due or through the head of the educational institution concerned in which the candidate is studying or employed. The notice should indicate that the representation or reply, if any, would be made within two weeks from the date of the receipt of the notice and in no case on request not more than 30 days from the date of the receipt of the notice."

9. Keeping in mind the above judgment of the Apex Court, an Office Memorandum was issued on 24.12.2020 by the Joint Secretary, Lok Sabha Secretariat. The relevant portions of the Office Memorandum are extracted hereunder:

“...It is pertinent to mention that the



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

Departments/Banks/PSUs have not adhered to the above mentioned guidelines of DoP&T and CVC and also it is not in conformity with the Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment delivered vide Kumari Madhuri Patil Vs. Addl. Commissioner in 1995 AIR 94, 1994 SSC (6) 241 Order dated 02.09.1994 ***since this judgment can only be implemented in prospective.***

2. Here, it is pertinent to bring to your notice DoP&T OM no.230/08/2005-AVD II dated 25.05.2005, which clearly states the following:-

“Government has, therefore decided that a detailed verification of all such certificates produced before various appointing authorities since 1995 be carried. The CVOs are requested to initiate this task by collecting the details of all those who had been appointed in the Ministries/Departments or agencies including CPSUs with which they are concerned, since 1995 on the strength of ST certificates.

2. Keeping in view the above mentioned facts, it is requested that the concerned State Level Scrutiny Committee be directed to verify the ST caste certificates of only those employees who were appointed ***after the year 1995 and the process of verification should be completed within two months.*** The Action Taken Report in this regard may be please be forwarded to this Secretariat at the earliest but not later than 18.02.2021 so that the same may be placed before the Committee.”

10. The above referred Office Memorandum makes it very clear that community certificates of employees, who were inducted into Government Service after 1995 can alone be subjected for scrutiny /



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

verification. To be more precise, it is incumbent on the employers / authorities to conduct verifications ideally at the time of an employee's entry into service, so as to ensure the accuracy and integrity of personnel records.

11. In furtherance thereof, the Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, PG & Pensions, Department of Personnel and Training issued instructions dated 21.10.2022 to all State / UT Governments, insisting upon the need for timely verification of Caste / Community certificates, indicating as follows:

“3. In this regard, it is reiterated that the responsibility for the issue and verification of Caste Certificate lies with the concerned State / UT Government. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide its order dated 02.09.1994 in the matter of Kumari Madhuri Patil vs. Addl. Commissioner, has laid down the detailed guidelines for effective verification of the Caste Certificates of the employees by the State Government, so that no person, on the basis of fake caste certificate, may secure employment wrongfully in the Government.”

12. On a reading of the aforesaid instructions, it is apparent that the Government of India is very keen in curbing the wrongful entry of an employee in the Government Service based on the fake community certificate and issued instructions to all State / UT Governments for verification of the community certificates at the earliest point of time.



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

WEB COPY

13. In an another Office Memorandum dated 30.11.2021, issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, PG & Pensions, Department of Pension & Pensioner's Welfare strictly instructed concerned Departments that unless departmental or judicial proceedings are pending against a retired employee, the pensionary / retirement benefits due to the retiring employee should not be withheld or delay on the ground of pendency of verification of caste certificate.

14. Learned counsel for the petitioner drew our attention to the Government Order dated 15.10.2012 issued by the Government of Tamil Nadu, constituting a District Level Vigilance Committee and State Level Scrutiny Committee to verify the genuineness of the community certificates. Vigilance Cells at Chennai, Salem, Trichy and Madurai were also formed to verify the community status and submit a report to the Committee. In the Government Order, the functions of the Vigilance Cells have been enumerated and a time frame has also been fixed for completion of enquiry, which reads as follows:

“vii) The inquiry should be completed as expeditiously as possible preferably by day to day proceedings within such period ***not exceeding two months***. If after inquiry, the



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

competent committee finds the claim to be false or spurious, they should pass an order cancelling the certificate issued and confiscate the same. It should communicate within one month from the date of the conclusion of the proceedings the result of enquiry to the parent / guardian and the applicant.”

15. In the light of various judgments of the Supreme Court and also the guidelines / instructions / GO issued by both Government of India and State Government, from time to time, we are of the view that the respondent cannot keep the matter pending for months / years together in the garb of verification of community certificate, especially when there is a specific time frame fixed for completion of such verification.

16. In this case, he joined the Southern Railways on 29.01.1986 and also retired from service on 31.05.2021. Therefore, the verification of his community certificate at this belated stage is not in consonance with the judgment of the Supreme Court and guidelines issued by the Government. Hence, we have no other option, but to set aside the impugned proceedings.

17. Learned counsel for the petitioner would state that the petitioner at this age is finding difficult to appear for enquiry before the officials and therefore, the petitioner would state that he is willing to



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

surrender his community certificate and he will not claim concessions to his children on the basis of his community certificate.

18. In the result, the Writ Petition is allowed. The impugned letter of the 3rd respondent in Na.Ka.No.E-2927455/2025/F dated 27.02.2025 and Enquiry Summon issued by the 4th respondent in his Letter Na.Ka.No.46/17 U.Ka.A / SaNeeRuMaUP / 2021 Govt / Letter / 2563/CVIII/2014-4 dated 10.08.2017 issued on 01.02.2021 are hereby set aside. The respondents are refrained from re-opening the enquiry / re-verification pertaining to the permanent community certificate of the petitioner. The petitioner shall surrender his community certificate (*if not already surrendered*) to the concerned Officials on due acknowledgment and shall not make any claim or derive concessions to his child/children on the basis of his caste certificate in future, as undertaken before this Court. In case, any application for issuance of community certificate is made by the son/s or daughter/s of the petitioner in future for the purpose of education, employment, etc., an independent enquiry can be conducted by following due process of law and a decision shall be taken in respect of issuance of community certificate within a period of two months (2) from the date of



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

receipt of application, if any made, bearing in mind the judgment of the Supreme Court / guidelines and the Government Order issued by the State Government dated 15.10.2012 (referred to supra). No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(J.N.B.J.) (S.S.Y,J.)
01.09.2025

Index: Yes / No
Internet: Yes / No
Speaking Order / Non Speaking Order
sts/ar

To:

1. The Chairman and Secretary to Government,
Tamil Nadu State Level Scrutiny Committee,
Adi Dravidar and Tribal Welfare Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.
2. The Director of Tribal Welfare Department,
Chepauk, Chennai-600 005.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of RDO, Madurai.
4. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Social Justice and Human Rights Unit,
Madurai City.



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

5. The Assistant Personnel Officer / SEM /
Divisional Personnel Officer,
Divisional Office, Southern Railway,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

J.NISHA BANU, J.

AND

S.SRIMATHY, J.

sts/ar



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.12505 of 2025

PRE-DELIVERY ORDER IN
W.P.(MD) No.12505 of 2025

01.09.2025