

W.P(MD) NO.12190 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 28.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD) No.12190 of 2025

P.Veerammal

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Puzhuthipatti Police Station,
Singampunari Taluk,
Sivagangai District.

3. P.Marunthan

4. P.Ponnar

5. M.Kaththamuthu

6. M.Thangaraj

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India



W.P(MD) NO.12190 of 2025

to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the petitioner's representations dated 29.04.2024 and 10.06.2024 and take appropriate action as against the respondents 3 and 6 within a stipulated time frame as may be fixed by this Court.

For petitioner : Mr. A.Mu.Sharavanan

For R1 & R2 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the petitioner's representations dated 29.04.2024 and 10.06.2024 and take appropriate action as against the respondents 3 and 6 within a stipulated time frame as may be fixed by this Court.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner lodged a complaint against the respondents 3 to 6 before the second respondent, but no action was taken. Hence, she filed this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side) for the




W.P(MD) NO.12190 of 2025

respondents 1 to 2 would submit that the petitioner lodged a complaint and the same was closed after enquiry on 25.05.2024 and thereafter, again she sent a representation dated 10.06.2024 and the same was also closed after enquiry in C.S.R.No.136 of 2024 dated 11.06.2024. Therefore, already the complaints were closed after enquiry and hence, this petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. Consider the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without issuing notice to the respondents 3 to 6, is inclined to pass orders in this case.

5. According to the petitioner, she lodged a complaint before the respondents 1 and 2 against the respondents 3 to 6, but no action was taken. The second respondent also admitted the receipt of the representations of the petitioner and the same were already enquired and closed. Today, the closure report is furnished to the petitioner's counsel. Hence, it is for the petitioner to challenge the closure of the complaint, if she is aggrieved.

6. In view of the same, preserving the liberty to the petitioner to



W.P(MD) NO.12190 of 2025

challenge the closure report in the manner known to law, this Writ

WEB COPY Petition is closed. No costs.

28.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Puzhuthipatti Police Station,
Singampunari Taluk,
Sivagangai District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD) NO.12190 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

W.P.(MD) No.12190 of 2025

28.04.2025