



CRL OP(MD). No.7865 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 08.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP(MD). No.7865 of 2025

1.D.Premkumar
2.G.Muthulakshmi
3.D.Renuka

... Petitioners/A1 to A3

Vs

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,,
AWPS-Sattur,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.9 of 2023).

2.C.Nandhini

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the first Information Report in Crime No.9 of 2023, dated 16.05.2023, on the file of the first respondent Police, and quash the same in the interest of justice.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Divyanathan

For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.M.Sivasankar

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed seeking to quash the first Information Report in Crime No.9 of 2023 on the file of the first respondent Police, which was registered for the offences under Section



CRL OP(MD). No.7865 of 2025

498-A of IPC, Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.

2. The allegation in the FIR is that the first petitioner is the husband and the second and third petitioners are in-laws of the defacto complainant; that they jointly committed cruelty on account of dowry demand and thus, committed the aforesaid offences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the defacto complainant/the second respondent would submit that the parties have now entered into a compromise. In fact, the marriage between the first petitioner and the second respondent/defacto complainant has been declared as null and void by the II Additional Family Court, Chennai, vide order dated 18.05.2024 and both the parties have agreed that the impugned F.I.R may be quashed.

4.The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo. The scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



CRL OP(MD). No.7865 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS, MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal original Jurisdiction)
Crl O P (MD) No ⁴⁸⁶⁵~~7865~~ of 2025
in
Crime No. 9 of 2023
(On the file of the Sattur, All Women Police Station)

1.D.Premkumar, M/33
S/o Dhinakaran,
No.84/86, 2nd Floor,
Thaiyappan Street,
Sevenwells,
Chennai – 600 001

2.G.Muthulakshmi, F/76
W/o Guruswamy,
No.25/51, Savarimuthu Street,
Mannady,
Chennai – 600 001

3.D.Renuka, F/56
W/o Dhinakaran,
No.84/86, 2nd Floor,
Thaiyappan Street,
Sevenwells,
Chennai – 600 001

... Petitioners /Accused 1,2&3
-Vs-

1. The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
AWPS – Sattur,
Virudhunagar Dist,
In Crime No.9 of 2023

...Respondent/ Complainant

2. C.Nandhini,
D/o Chandramohan,
No. 1/65, Mela Theru,
Irukkangudi. Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar (Dist)

...Respondent / Defacto Complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

1. It is submitted that the prosecution case is that the that the 2nd respondent / defacto complainant was harassed by the petitioners/accused demanding Rs.2,00,000/- dowry and that subsequently the 2nd respondent/defacto

D. Premkumar
G. Muthulakshmi
D. Renuka

C. Nandhini



CRL OP(MD). No.7865 of 2025

WEB COPY

complainant demanded the petitioners/accused to return 7 sovereign of gold jewellery along with a sum of Rs.50,000 and that subsequently the 1st petitioner/accused was present at the 1st respondent police station on 21.04.2023 and returned 7 sovereign of gold jewellery that left in the matrimonial home by the 2nd respondent/defacto complainant.

2. Thereafter the defacto complainant lodged complaint and the 1st respondent police registered a case in Cr.No. 9 of 2023.
3. Thereafter, the 1st Petitioner filed HMOP No.1708 of 2023 for annulment of marriage before the II Additional Family Court, Chennai. The 2nd Respondent attended counseling and thereafter chose not to contest. The Hon'ble Family Court declared the marriage null and void by order dated 18.05.2024.
4. It is submitted that there was a mutual understanding has arisen between the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and have entered into a compromise dated 17.02.2025. Hence there is no need of further investigation in Crime Number 9 of 2023 for offences under Section 498-A of IPC, Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 on the file of 2nd respondent police. No useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings and for any reason if the criminal proceedings are allowed to continue that will cause great hardship and inconvenience and this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to pass suitable orders and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this the 29th day of April, 2025

1. D. Renuka
2. U. Shik
3. D. Renuka
Petitioners

U. Shik
Counsel for petitioner

C. Marudai
2nd respondent

H. Kar
Counsel for 2nd respondent



CRL OP(MD). No.7865 of 2025

5.The petitioners, namely, D.Premkumar (Aadhaar No.7130 3173 1823), G.Muthulakshmi (Aadhaar No.5277 6826 0609), D.Renuka (Aadhaar No.4322 1053 8872) and the second respondent, namely, C.Nandhini (Aadhaar No.8666 9368 3298) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mrs.P.M.Mareeswari, WHC, AWPS, Sattur. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6.Considering the nature of the offences and the fact that the parties have arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.9 of 2023, on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

08.10.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
vsg



CRL OP(MD). No.7865 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,,
AWPS-Sattur,
Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.7865 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN,J

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7865 of 2025

Date : 08.10.2025