



W.P(MD)No.12333 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.04.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.12333 of 2025

and

W.M.P(MD)No.9019 of 2025

K.John Jaya Prakash

... Petitioner

Vs

**1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.**

**2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thiruchuli,
Virudhunagar District.**

**3. The Inspector of Police,
M.Reddiapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar.**

4. Palraj

... Respondents



W.P(MD)No.12333 of 2025

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent no.1 to take action against respondent No.2 who not allowing the petitioner to do the Church works by considering the petitioner's representation dated 19.04.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Seeni Syed Amma
for M/s. Roy and Roy Associates,

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
1 to 3 Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the first respondent to take action against the second respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 19.04.2025.

2.According to the petitioner, the second respondent is restraining the petitioner from doing church activities. Therefore, the petitioner sent the representation dated 19.04.2025 to the first respondent, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.



W.P(MD)No.12333 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the respondent police no way interfered with the petitioner's church activities and the petitioner's representation is under consideration by the first respondent and they will conduct enquiry and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondent, is inclined to pass order.

5.Since the petitioner's representation is pending with the first respondent, it is for him to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

6.Therefore, the first respondent is directed to conduct enquiry upon the petitioner's representation and take appropriate action, in accordance with law, after affording opportunity to both the parties.



W.P(MD)No.12333 of 2025

7.With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

29.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No

LR

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thiruchuli,
Virudhunagar District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
M.Reddiapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.12333 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

LR

W.P(MD)No.12333 of 2025

29.04.2025