



Crl.O.P(MD).No.7934 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated: 29.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD).No.7934 of 2025

Govindarasu

.. Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ottanchatram, Dindigul District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Kallimanthayam Police Station,
Ottanchatram, Dindigul District.
- 4.Sennimalai

.. Respondents

Prayer : Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the respondents 1 to 3 not to interfere with the civil dispute based upon the representation of the petitioner dated 21.04.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kishore Kumar

For R1 to R3 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



Crl.O.P(MD).No.7934 of 2025

ORDER


WEB COPY

This petition has been filed to direct the respondents 1 to 3 not to interfere with the civil dispute based upon the representation of the petitioner dated 21.04.2025.

2. According to the petitioner, at the instance of the fourth respondent, the third respondent is harassing the petitioner under the guise of enquiry by interfering with the civil dispute. Hence, he filed this petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondents 1 to 3, on instructions, would submit that based on the complaint given by the fourth respondent before the first respondent, which was forwarded to the third respondent, they called the petitioner for enquiry purpose. He further would submit that there is no harassment as alleged by the petitioner.

4. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available.



Crl.O.P(MD).No.7934 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. The prayer in this petition is that to direct the respondents 1 to 3 not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry. According to the respondents 1 to 3, based on the complaint lodged by the fourth respondent as against the petitioner before the first respondent and the same was forwarded to the third respondent, they called the petitioner for enquiry, apart from that, they have not harassed the petitioner as alleged by the petitioner.

6. Considering the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without issuing notice to the fourth respondent, recording the aforesaid submission of the respondent police that they have not harassed the petitioner and the petitioner was called only for enquiry, based on the complaint given by the fourth respondent, directs the third respondent to conduct enquiry and dispose of the same within 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above direction, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

29.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

Rmk



Crl.O.P(MD).No.7934 of 2025

WEB COPY

To:

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ottanchatram, Dindigul District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Kallimanthayam Police Station,
Ottanchatram, Dindigul District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutort,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD).No.7934 of 2025

P. DHANABAL,J.

Rmk

Crl.O.P(MD).No.7934 of 2025

29.04.2025