



W.P(MD)No.12344 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.04.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.12344 of 2025

V.Mazhilan

... Petitioner

Vs


**1. The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.**

**2. The Inspector of Police,
Vadaku Police Station,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.**

3. Chinnathambi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection for implementing the Government scheme in respect of construction of compound wall and stage in the campus of the Arulmigu Muneeswaran Thirukkivil, Sooranviduthi, Alangudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District based upon the petitioner's representation dated 15.03.2024 within a time



W.P(MD)No.12344 of 2025

stipulated as fixed by this Court.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Mathavan,

For R1 and R2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection for implementing the Government scheme in respect of construction of compound wall and stage in the campus of the Arulmigu Muneeswaran Thirukkivil, Sooranviduthi, Alangudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District based upon the petitioner's representation dated 15.03.2024.

2.According to the petitioner, he sent the representation dated 15.03.2024 to the second respondent seeking adequate police protection for implementing the Government scheme in respect of construction of compound wall and stage in the said temple, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.



W.P(MD)No.12344 of 2025

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that they have not received the petitioner's representation.

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondent, is inclined to pass order.

5.Since the second respondent denied the receipt of the petitioner's representation, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the second respondent through a fresh representation. On receipt of any such representation from the petitioner, the second respondent is directed to consider the petitioner's representation and act in accordance with law, without any delay.

6.With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

29.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR

3/5



W.P(MD)No.12344 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Vadaku Police Station,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.12344 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

LR

W.P(MD)No.12344 of 2025

29.04.2025