



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7684 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 25.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.7684 of 2025

1. Esakkimuthu @ Kutty,

2. Esakkipandi,

3. Bala Subramanian,

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Kallidaikurichi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
Crime No.39 of 2025.

2. Esakkiraj

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to call for the records of the impugned proceedings in C.C.No. 112 of 2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Ambasamudram, Tirunelveli District and Quash the same as illegal.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7684 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.Muthuram.R

For R1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Ms.K.Sasi Prabha

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.112 of 2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Ambasamudram, Tirunelveli District for the offences under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 308 and 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.39 of 2025 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioners, based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No. 39 of 2025 for the offences under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 308 and 351(3) of BNS.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.112 of 2025, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7684 of 2025

before this Court.

WEB COPY

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise. A compromise memo, dated 12.04.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the parties have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and C.C.No.112 of 2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Ambasamudram, Tirunelveli District is quashed.

25.04.2025

Internet:Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR

3/5



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7684 of 2025

WEB COPY To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Ambasamudram, Tirunelveli District.
2. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Kallidaikurichi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7684 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.7684 of 2025

25.04.2025