



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7698 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 25.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.7698 of 2025

1. R.Dhanalakshmi,

2. R. Jeevitha

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Mandapam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No. 108/2015.

2. K.Jothi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to call for the records of the 1st respondent and quash the proceedings in Cr.No. 108/2015 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Balaji

For R1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7698 of 2025

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.B.Senthilkumar

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.108 of 2015 dated 31.08.2015 on the file of the first respondent police, for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and quash the same.

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsels on both sides represented that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court. The first petitioner also lodged a counter complaint against the defacto complainant herein, for which an FIR in Crime No.109 of 2015 was registered for the offence under Sections 294(b), 323, 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and the same was also quashed on the basis of the compromise entered into between the parties.

2/5



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7698 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. The defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and this Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as they are neighbors and she has no objection to quash the FIR. A compromise memo, dated 21.04.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsel, is also filed before this Court.

4. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

5. Considering the nature of the offences and the parties have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

6. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and the First Information Report in Crime No.108 of 2015 dated 31.08.2015 on the file of the first respondent police is quashed.

25.04.2025

Internet:Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR

3/5



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7698 of 2025

WEB COPY To

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Mandapam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7698 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.7698 of 2025

25.04.2025