



CRL OP(MD). No.7419 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23/04/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.7419 of 2025

1. Vetriselvam Alias Vetriselvan
2. Chithravel

... Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1&2

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Siruganur Police Station,
Trichy District.
Crime No. 63 of 2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Ms.P.Umamaheswari
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S. Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 63 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.7419 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-


WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners on 21.04.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioners / A1 and A2 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.63 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.03.2025 at about 3.00 pm, due to previous enmity, the 1st petitioner herein has attacked the defacto complainant with brick and 2nd petitioner has attacked him with Wooden log and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

4. Ms.P.Umamaheswari, the learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners are innocent person, and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, and they have been falsely implicated in this case. She however submits that the petitioners are ready to abide any conditions to be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, she prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the



petitioner.

WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.7419 of 2025

5. *Per contra*, Mr.S.S.Manoj, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. He further submits that the investigation of the case is still pending and, therefore, at this stage, if pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioners, they may cause threat to the witnesses and commit similar offence. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. In view of the offence alleged against the petitioners and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this Court is of the considered opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary in this case. The petitioners have permanent residence and deep roots in the society and therefore, there is less possibility for absconding. Considering the same, and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioners to reform themselves, this Court is inclined to grant



CRL OP(MD). No.7419 of 2025

an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY


(i) The petitioners shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of their arrest or in the event of their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent - police daily at 10.00 am until further orders;

(iv) The petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.



CRL OP(MD). No.7419 of 2025

WEB COPY
Court.

(vi) The petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the

(vii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and tamper with the evidence.

(viii) The petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi.

(ix) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by them as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283]*.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated *supra*.

Sd/-

23/04/2025

// True Copy //

/2025

Sub Assistant Registrar
(CS - I/ II / III /IV)

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

TRP

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.7419 of 2025

TO
WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi.
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Trichy District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Siruganur Police Station,
Trichy District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.K.SIVABALAN, Advocate (SR-4689[I] dated 24/04/2025)

CRL OP(MD) No.7419 of 2025
Date : 23/04/2025

KVL/26.05.2025 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023