



CRL.OP(MD). No.7408 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.04.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD). No.7408 of 2025

1.Ravichandran

2.Naveen

... Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 & 3

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Bodinayakkanur Rural Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.112 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the
petitioners in Crime No.112 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Karuppasami Pandian,
Advocate
for Mr.R.Ganesh Prabu,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners on 21.04.2025
under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying



CRL.OP(MD). No.7408 of 2025

to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

WEB COPY

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1998, in Crime No.112 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that, on 10.04.2025, at approximately 7:00 P.M., during the Muthu Mariamman Temple festival at Rasingapuram, Bodinayakkanur, Theni District, a verbal altercation broke out between two rival groups. The respondent-police intervened to restore order. Later, at around 10:15 P.M., the petitioners allegedly assaulted the defacto complainant and threatened her with dire consequences, resulting in the registration of FIR in Crime No. 112 of 2025.

4. Mr.G.Karuppasami Pandian, the learned counsel appearing for Mr.R.Ganesh Prabu, the learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners are innocent persons, they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and a false case has been foisted against the petitioners. He however submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, he prays to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there are totally three



CRL.OP(MD). No.7408 of 2025


WEB COPY

accused persons in this case and the petitioners have been arrayed as A1 and A3. He further submits that there are no previous cases against the petitioners. He further submits that the injured person was admitted and treated as out-patient. He further contends that if the petitioners are released on pre-arrest bail, they will again cause threat to the defacto complainant. He therefore prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. The petitioners have no bad antecedent and have permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Hence, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same and also considering the nature of the offence alleged against the petitioners and taking note of the fact that the injured was treated as out-patient and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioners to reform themselves, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of their arrest or in the event of their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Bodinayakkanur, Theni District, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/-



CRL.OP(MD). No.7408 of 2025

(Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate,
WEB COPY
Bodinayakkanur, Theni District.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The first petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police weekly once i.e., on every Sunday at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.


(iv) The second petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police weekly twice i.e., on every Sunday and Monday at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(v) The petitioners shall appear before the respondent-police as and when required for interrogation.

(vi) The petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(vii) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(viii) The petitioners shall also not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence.



CRL.OP(MD). No.7408 of 2025

WEB COPY

(ix) The petitioners shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house or her working place and shall also not try to contact the defacto complainant.

(x) The petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(xi) The petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(xii) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].


8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
23/04/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/05/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

PAL
TO
1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
BODINAYAKKANUR, THENI DISTRICT.



CRL.OP(MD). No.7408 of 2025

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, THENI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BODINAYAKKANUR RURAL POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.GANESH PRABHU, Advocate (SR-4798[I] dated 25/04/2025)

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7408 of 2025
Date :23/04/2025

SA/SAR. /27.05.2025/6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.