



CRL.OP(MD). No.7384 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.04.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD). No.7384 of 2025

1.Vijaya Narayanan

2.Reeta @ Kalaiarasi

3.Chellakani

... Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Elayirampennai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.64 of 2025)


... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the
petitioners in Crime No.64 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Jeyakumar,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL.OP(MD). No.7384 of 2025


WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners on 21.04.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of the TNPHW Act, in Crime No.64 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant and the petitioners are neighbors and relatives. The petitioners were constructing a house on their vacant site, which is adjacent to the vacant site of the de-facto complainant. During the construction, it is alleged that the petitioners attempted to encroach upon the de-facto complainant's site by about 2 feet. When the de-facto complainant questioned this, a quarrel ensued between the de-facto complainant and petitioners 1 and 2. On 17.03.2025 at about 07.41 a.m. and the 1st petitioner attacked the de-facto complainant with a wooden log and the 2nd petitioner abused her in filthy language, and the 3rd petitioner, who is a building contractor, attacked her with his hands. Upon the intervention of others, the petitioners left the scene of occurrence. Hence, the present complaint came to be lodged.

4. Mr.B.Jeyakumar, the learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners are innocent persons, they have not committed any offence as alleged by



CRL.OP(MD). No.7384 of 2025

WEB COPY

the prosecution and a false case has been foisted against the petitioners. He however submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, he prays to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that the petitioners have been arrayed as A1 to A3. He further submits that the petitioners have no previous case and the first offenders. He further submits that the injured person was admitted to the hospital on 17.03.2025 and discharged on 21.03.2025. He further submits that the alleged occurrence took place between the petitioners and the de-facto complainant due to pathway dispute. He further contends that if the petitioners are released on pre-arrest bail, they will again cause threat to the defacto complainant. He therefore prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. The petitioners have permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Hence, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same and also considering the nature of the offence alleged against the petitioners and taking note of the fact that the injured was discharged from hospital and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioners to reform themselves, this Court is inclined to grant



CRL.OP(MD). No.7384 of 2025

pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, pre-arrest bail is granted to the
WEB COPY
petitioners subject to the following conditions:


(i) The petitioners shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of their arrest or in the event of their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sattur, Virudhunagar District, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sattur, Virudhunagar District.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(iv) The petitioners shall appear before the respondent-police as and when required for interrogation.

(v) The petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.



CRL.OP(MD). No.7384 of 2025

WEB COPY

(vi) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vii) The petitioners shall also not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant, his family members and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence.

(viii) The petitioners shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house or his working place and shall also not try to contact the defacto complainant.

(ix) The petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(x) The petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(xi) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].



CRL.OP(MD). No.7384 of 2025

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

sd/-
23/04/2025

/05/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

PAL

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
SATTUR, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 2.DO THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT @ SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ELAYIRAMPANNAI POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.B.JEYAKUMAR, Advocate (SR-4782[I] dated 25/04/2025)



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD). No.7384 of 2025

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.7384 of 2025

Date :23/04/2025

HPS/29.05.2025 /7P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023